

Outline

- Background information
 - What is NRDAR?
 - O How does NRDAR fit in with this site?
- Tittabawassee River System assessment
 - Assessment area
 - Natural resources of the assessment area
 - Exposure to contaminants
 - Assessment approaches
- Looking toward restoration



What is NRDAR?

- Natural Resource Damage
 Assessment and Restoration
- Created by Congress in addition to the processes for cleanup of hazardous substances
- Following a spill or other releases, agencies act on behalf of the public to replenish the common store of natural resources for public use and enjoyment





NRDAR vs. Cleanup

- Both authorized under CERCLA
 - Comprehensive Environmental Response,
 Compensation, and Liability Act (Superfund)
- Both also authorized under additional laws
- Cleanup is done as response, remediation and corrective action
 - To protect human health and the environment
 - EPA can issue unilateral orders for cleanup
- NRDAR
 - To make the public whole through restoration
 - Trustees must negotiate and settle or litigate

Natural Resources

- "Natural resources" means land, fish, wildlife, biota, air, water, groundwater, drinking water supplies and other such resources...
- ...belonging to, managed by, held in trust by, appertaining to, or otherwise controlled by trustees (CERCLA § 101)
- For Tribal Trustees, natural resources include natural resources related to tribal subsistence, cultural uses and spiritual values and uses that are preserved by treaties







NRDAR Goal

- Restore injured natural resources and the services they provide
- For NRDAR, "restore" means:
 - restore, rehabilitate, replace, or acquire the equivalent of injured natural resources and services

How does NRDAR work?

- Compensatory, polluter pays
- Government agencies (Trustees) act on behalf of the public
- A structured process defined in regulations:
 - Determine injury through time to natural resources
 - Including understanding losses to public
 - Assess damages based on injuries
 - What is needed to restore and compensate
 - Work with public on restoration opportunities
 - Recover damages as money or restoration projects via a negotiated settlement or litigation
 - Implement and evaluate restoration

Trustees

- Work on behalf of the public
- Specified by law and regulations
- o Include:
 - State Governors
 - Federally-recognized tribes
 - Secretaries of certain federal departments

Trustees

- For the Tittabawassee River:
 - State of Michigan represented by
 - MDEQ
 - MDNR
 - Attorney General
 - Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan
 - Department of the Interior represented by
 - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 - Bureau of Indian Affairs














Injury

- What is broken....
- A measurable or observable adverse change in the quality, viability, or value of natural resources and the services that they provide.
- Defined in regulations 43 CFR §11.14
- Not the same as risk
- Includes loss and destruction
- Federal regulations provide resource-specific definitions that can be used to determine if a natural resource has been harmed or "injured"





Services provided by natural resources

- Physical and biological functions performed by the resources including human uses
- Any function performed by a resource for another resource or humans
- Examples:
 - Healthy habitat
 - Food chain (forage, prey)
 - Recreational and cultural uses such as fishing, gathering





Damages

- What does it take to fix it....
- Restoration
 - Primary
 - Return injured resources to baseline
 - Compensatory
 - Additional restoration to address losses over time
- Costs of Assessment
- 43 CFR §11.15






Restoration

- To baseline:
 - Condition that the resources would have been in had the release of hazardous substances not occurred
 - Includes addressing direct and indirect impacts
- Compensatory:
 - When the public experiences losses in natural resources and their services: past, present, and future
 - Compensation is in the form of restoration



NRDA Steps

- Pre-assessment screen
- Assessment Plan and assessment phase



- Post-assessment phase
 - Restoration
 - Monitoring

How does NRDA fit into this site?

- RCRA License Corrective Action and Superfund Alternative Site Process
 - Interim Responses and Removal Actions
 - Remedial Investigation, Human Health Risk Assessment, Ecological Risk Assessment, Feasibility Study, Remedial Action Plan

NRDAR


- Assess injuries and determine amounts and types of restoration needed
 - Share information, but some analyses are unique
 - Depends on timing and completeness of cleanup
- Restoration actions can build on cleanup actions

Tittabawassee River NRDAR Damage Assessment Plan

- Trustees plan published in April 2008
- Describes Trustees' approach for conducting a NRDAR
- Addresses losses caused by natural resource injuries resulting from Dow's releases of hazardous substances
- Describes methods to determine and quantify natural resource restoration work necessary to make the public whole

Assessment Area

- Where contaminants have come to be located
- Tittabawassee & Saginaw Rivers & floodplains, Saginaw Bay
- Aerial deposition areas from Dow's Midland plant



- Land, fish, wildlife, biota, air, water, groundwater, drinking water supplies, resources for tribal cultural and spiritual uses...for example:
- Rivers and floodplains, wetlands, forests





- Fish: carp, catfish, walleye, white bass, sucker
- Birds: bald eagle, great blue heron, belted kingfisher wood ducks, tree swallows
- Mammals: mink, fox, deer, muskrat
- Reptiles, amphibians
- Invertebrates













- Park lands
- Biota affected by aerial deposition



- Park lands in river floodplains
 - ShiawasseeNationalWildlife Refuge
 - Crow Island State Game Area
 - Local and county parks



Confirmation of Exposure

- Multiple lines of evidence that confirm exposure:
 - Sampling data across multiple environmental media
 - Wide array of advisories





Confirmation of Exposure

- Other data sources confirming exposure of natural resources are summarized in the Trustees' Assessment Plan:
 - Surface water and sediment
 - Biota
 - Groundwater





Assessment Approach

- The trustees are conducting an assessment to determine:
 - Nature and extent of injuries to natural resources (past, present, future)
 - Biota, habitats, human uses
 - Effects of cleanup actions
 - Restoration opportunities that could offset the injuries
 - Appropriate amount and types of restoration

Assessment Approach

- Cooperative assessment with Dow
 - Funding and participation agreement
 - Cooperative technical workgroups
 - human services, ecological injuries, and restoration
 - Cooperative studies
- Independent Trustee studies and analyses
 - Injury and scaling restoration amounts
 - Restoration workshop with resource experts

Assessment: Injuries

- Injuries to natural resources
 - Toxicity, e.g. reduced reproduction
 - Diminished uses, e.g. from advisories
 - Indirect losses, e.g. from response actions
- Injury and pathway determination
 - Compilation and evaluation of existing sitespecific data
 - Identification of additional data needs and studies

Assessment: Injuries (not)

- Watersheds and rivers have been influenced by other stressors
 - E.g. logging, dams, agriculture, industry
 - NRDAR does not include these in injury assessment






Assessment: Advisories

- Advisories
 - Fish
 - Wild game (deer, turkey, squirrel)
 - Soil Contact




Assessment: Advisories

History of fish consumption advisories for dioxins



Assessment: Pathway



Assessment: Restoration

- Restoration opportunities and evaluation
 - Identify restoration ideas
 - Evaluate using trustee criteria
 - Relate restoration to injury





Assessment: Restoration Scaling

- Scaling restoration gains to losses caused by injuries
 - Different scaling approaches can be used:
 - Cost based on resource-to-resource scaling
 - e.g. more mink through habitat restoration for mink reproduction losses
 - Cost based on habitat-habitat scaling
 - e.g. acres for acres
 - Value of losses caused by injuries
 - e.g. value of reduced recreational fishing
 - Values of gains caused by restoration used to offset losses

Assessment: Restoration Criteria

- Developed by Trustees for this case
 - Published in Assessment Plan
 - http://www.fws.gov/midwest/es/ec/nrda/TittabawasseeRiver NRDA/
- Based on criteria in federal regulations at 43
 C.F.R. § 11.82
- Purpose:
 - Guide collection of restoration ideas
 - From public and organizations
 - Guide selection of projects to address injuries

Restoration Criteria: Categories of Criteria

- Eligibility
- Focus
- Implementability
- Benefits

Restoration Criteria: Eligibility

- Complies with applicable/relevant federal, state, local, and tribal laws and regulations
- Benefits natural resources injured by hazardous substances
- Is technically feasible

Restoration Criteria: Focus

- Restores, rehabilitates, replaces, or acquires the equivalent of injured natural resources
- Addresses natural resources that are trustee priorities
- Targets resources or services that will require a long time to recover naturally

Restoration Criteria: Implementation

- Cost effective
- Benefits can be measured
- Uses methods known to be successful
- Takes into account completed or anticipated response actions
- If the project involves source control, it reduces exposure of natural resources
- Is consistent with regional planning

Restoration Criteria: Benefits

- Provides the greatest scope of benefits to the largest area or population
- Provides benefits not being provided by other programs
- Aims to achieve environmental fairness
- Maximizes the time over which benefits accrue





Assessment: Restoration Ideas

- Trustees and Dow are collecting ideas
- Trustees always welcome public input
- Restoration concepts:
 - e.g. coastal wetland restoration, fish passage, habitat continuity, invasive species control, shoreline 'softening'
- Specific projects ideas proposed to Trustees:
 - e.g. wetland restoration at SNWR, fish passage at Dow dam, purchase of available property adjacent to public land

Restoration Idea Sources

- Saginaw Bay Watershed Initiative Network (WIN)
- Saginaw Bay Coastal Initiative
- Saginaw River/Bay RAP Update
- Ducks Unlimited
- The Nature Conservancy
- Saginaw Basin Land Conservancy
- Townships, Counties, Individuals
- Michigan United Conservation Clubs
- MDNR, MDEQ and USFWS
- Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan
- O Dow

Summary

- NRDAR is a complementary, parallel, yet distinct process from the cleanup.
- The goal of NRDAR is to restore: return to baseline and compensate for losses over time.
- NRDAR must...
 - address injuries that resulted from the release of contaminants
 - o focus on natural resources

Next Steps?

- Continue to coordinate with response
- Continue to develop ideas for potential restoration projects
- Report on results of assessment
- Determine damages
- Negotiate with Dow
- …Restoration projects

Contact Information

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service:

Lisa L. Williams, Ph.D U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2651 Coolidge Road, Ste. 101 East Lansing, MI 48848 517-351-8324 phone lisa_williams@fws.gov

Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan:
Sally Kniffen
Saginaw Chippewa Tribe
7070 E. Broadway
Mt. Pleasant, MI 48858
989-775-4015 phone
skniffen@sagchip.org



Bureau of Indian Affairs:
Charlie Chandler
Bureau of Indian Affairs
1849 C Street, NW
MS 4655 MIB
Washington, D.C. 20240
202-219-0006 phone
Charlie.Chandler@bia.gov

Contact Information

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality:
Judie Alfano

Remediation and Redevelopment Division

MDEQ

P.O. Box 30426

Lansing, MI 48909

517-241-9581

alfanoj@michigan.gov

Michigan Department of Natural Resources:

Jessica Mistak, Supervisor

Habitat Management Unit


DNR Fisheries Division

6833 Hwy 2, 41, and M-35

Gladstone, MI 49837

906-789-8212

mistakj@michigan.gov



Michigan Attorney General:

Polly Synk

Assistant Attorney General

Environment, Natural Resources &

Agriculture Division

P. O. Box 30755

Lansing, MI 48909

517-373-7540

SynkP@michigan.gov