DEPT. OF COMMERCE-NUA...

DEC 2 1 2000

ORIGINAL

1	LOIS J. SCHIFFER	DEG 2 = 2000	OMOMA
- "	A spines A Assess C special	orner	
2	Environment and Natural Resources Div United States Department of Justice	I SOUTHWEST RECIONAL OFFICE	
	United States Department of Justice	OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	
3	Washington, D.C. 20530		
))	DAVID B. GLAZER		
4	Environmental Enforcement Section		
	Environment and Natural Resources Div	vision	FILED
5	United States Department of Justice	13.011	11660
-	301 Howard Street, Suite 870		
6	San Francisco, California 94105		DEC 8 2000
	(415) 744-6491		
7	THOMAS A. BLOOMFIELD	CLE	ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
.	Assistant Regional Counsel	EASTE	RNIDIS TO CAUT CALI: ORNIA
8	United States Environmental Protection	Agency BY	DEPUTY CLERK
	Region 9		~ ·
9	75 Hawthorne Street		
	san Francisco California 94105		
<u>10</u>	14 13 17 13 17 1		
_]			
11	Attorneys for the United States of Americal Additional attorneys listed following page	ca	
	WAlldkienal attorneys listed following page	ge)	
12	2		
AST TEA		STATES DISTRICT COURT	
1 3	TOR THE EASTER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI	Α
	DEPUTY CLERK) G: 11 N G 01 07	KO DEL ATIA
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Civil No. S-91-07	68 DFL/JFM
15	Disimples) (Consolidated for	all mumagag with
ונו	Plaintiff,) (Consolidated for	
16	v.) Civil No. S-91-11	Of DELITER)
10	IRON MOUNTAIN MINES, INC.;	'	
17	T.W. ARMAN; and AVENTIS	`	
	CROPSCIENCE USA INC.,	Ś	
18	ekorociertee opir inte.,	΄	
	Defendants.	Ś	
19	. Development) CONSENT DECI	REE
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, On behalf o	f the	
20	California Department of Toxic Substance		
	Control and the California Regional Water	er)	
21	Quality Control Board for the Central Va		
	Region,))	
22		ý	
	Plaintiff,)	
23	v.)	
)	
24	IRON MOUNTAIN MINES, INC.;)	
	T.W. ARMAN; and AVENTIS)	
25	CROPSCIENCÉ USA INC.,) Hon. David F. Le	evi
)	
26	Defendants.)	
27	AND DEL AMED COCCO CONTINUED)	-
27	AND RELATED CROSS-, COUNTER-)	
	AND THIRD-PARTY-CLAIMS)	

1	PAUL L. SEAVE
i	United States Attorney
2	Eastern District of California YOSHINORI H.T. HIMEL
3	Assistant United States Attorney
	501 "I" Street, Suite 10-100
4	Sacramento, California 95814
5	(916) 554-2760
ا	MARTIN F. McDERMOTT
6	Environmental Defense Section
_ [Environment & Natural Resources Division
7	United States Department of Justice 601 "D" Street, N.W., Suite 8000
8	Washington, D.C. 20004
	(202) 514-4122
9	MARK A DICALI
10	MARK A. RIGAU Environmental Defense Section
10	Environment & Natural Resources Division
11	United States Department of Justice
	301 Howard Street, Suite 870
12	San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 744-6491
13	(413) /44 0421
,	Attorneys for the United States of America
14	DILL LOCKYED
15	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California
15	retionicy deficial of the state of Camorina
16	THEODORA BERGER
	MARY HACKENBRACHT
17	Assistant Attorneys General
18	SARA RUSSELL, State Bar No. 84704
	Deputy Attorney General
19	California Attorney General's Office
20	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550
20	(916) 324-7853
21	
22	KEN ALEX, State Bar No. 111236
22	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARGARITA PADILLA, State Bar No. 99966
23	Deputy Attorney General
	California Attorney General's Office
24	1515 Clay Street
25	Oakland, California 94612 (510) 622-2135
Ì	(510) 022 2133
26	
77	
27	
28	-ii

l į	Gallagher & Gallagher
2	1925 Century Park East Suite 950
3	Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 203-2600
4	
5	DANIEL J. BUCKLEY, State Bar No. 93583 BREIDENBACH, BUCKLEY, HUCHTING, HALM & HAMBLET, A Law Corporation
6	611 West Sixth Street, Thirteenth Floor
7	Los Angeles, California 90017-3100 (213) 624-3431
8	Attorneys for the State of California,
9	On behalf of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the Central Valley Region, et al.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-iii-

l		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2		
3	Ι.	BACKGROUND
4	II.	JURISDICTION
5	III.	PARTIES BOUND
6	IV.	DEFINITIONS
7	V.	GENERAL PROVISIONS
8	VI.	PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY THE SITE OPERATOR 33
9	VII.	REMEDY REVIEW
10	VIII.	QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, and DATA ANALYSIS
11	IX.	ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS
12	X.	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
13	XI.	OVERSIGHT AGENCY APPROVAL OF PLANS AND
14		OTHER SUBMISSIONS 34
15	XII.	PROJECT COORDINATORS
16	XIII.	ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK
17	XIV.	CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION
18	XV.	EMERGENCY RESPONSE
19	XVI.	REIMBURSEMENT OF SOW RESPONSE COSTS
20	XVII.	INDEMNIFICATION 30
21	XVIII.	FORCE MAJEURE 3
22	XIX.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION
23	XX.	STIPULATED PENALTIES/DAMAGES
24	XXI.	COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATE
25		AGENCIES
26		
27		
28		

1	XXII.	COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY THE RELEASED PARTIES. THE SITE
2		OPERATOR, THE IT PARTIES, THE TRUSTS, AND THE TRUSTEE:
3		INTERGOVERNMENTAL COVENANTS
4	XXIII.	EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION
5	XXIV.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION
6	XXV.	RETENTION OF RECORDS
7	XXVI.	NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS
8	XXVII.	EFFECTIVE DATE
9	XXVIII.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
10	XXIX.	APPENDICES
11	XXX.	COMMUNITY RELATIONS
12	XXXI.	MODIFICATION
13	XXXII.	LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 83
14	XXXIII.	RESOLUTION OF NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE CLAIMS 84
15	XXXIV.	SIGNATORIES/SERVICE
16	XXXV.	ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS
17	XXXVI.	FINAL JUDGMENT
18		
19		
20		
21	į	
22		
23		
24		
25	: 	
26		
27		
28		

I. BACKGROUND

- A. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), has filed an Amended Complaint in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607, against Defendants Rhône-Poulenc, Inc. (now known as Aventis CropScience USA Inc. ("Aventis")), Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., and T.W. Arman ("Defendants").
- B. The United States in its Amended Complaint seeks reimbursement of costs for response actions at the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site in Shasta County, California, together with accrued Interest.
- C. In accordance with the National Contingency Plan ("NCP") and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified the State of California of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the implementation of response actions for the Site, and the State plaintiffs (as described in Paragraph D, below) and the California Department of Fish and Game ("DFG") have participated in such negotiations and are parties to this Consent Decree.
- D. The State of California, on behalf of the Department of Toxic Substances

 Control and the Regional Water Quality Control Board for the Central Valley Region ("State plaintiffs"), has also filed a complaint against Defendants in this Court alleging that

 Defendants are liable to the State plaintiffs under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, for response costs, together with accrued Interest.
- E. Stauffer Management Company is a Party to this Consent Decree and is also the representative of Defendant Aventis CropScience USA Inc.
- F. Defendant Aventis has filed counter- and third-party claims against the United States and the State of California alleging that the United States and certain State agencies are liable under Sections 107 and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, for response costs.

1 |

- G. None of the Settling Parties or IT Parties, nor Trust I, Trust II, or Trustee (as defined in Section IV of this Consent Decree) admit any liability to the Plaintiffs arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaints, nor do they acknowledge that the release or threatened release of hazardous substance(s) at or from the Site constitutes an imminent or substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.

 Neither does the United States nor the State agencies admit any liability to the Settling Parties arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the counter- or third-party claims.
- H. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Site on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on September 8, 1983, 48 Fed. Reg. 40,658.
- I. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of hazardous substances at or from the Site, EPA commenced a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the Site in September 1983, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.68.
 - J. EPA issued its initial RI/FS in 1985 and an FS Addendum in 1986.
- K. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.
- L. The decision by EPA on the first interim remedial action to be implemented at the Site, Operable Unit 1 ("OU 1"), is embodied in a Record of Decision ("ROD 1"), executed on October 3, 1986, on which the State plaintiffs and DFG have given their concurrence. The ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA. On May 22,

1 |

- M. EPA issued an FS for the Boulder Creek OU ("OU 2") in 1992 and published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.
- N. The decision by EPA on the interim remedial action to be implemented at the Site for OU 2 is embodied in a ROD ("ROD 2"), executed on September 30, 1992, on which the State plaintiffs and DFG have given their concurrence. The ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA.
- O. EPA issued an FS for the Old/No. 8 Mine OU ("OU 3") in 1993 and published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.
- P. The decision by EPA on the interim remedial action to be implemented at the Site for OU 3 is embodied in a ROD ("ROD 3"), executed on September 24, 1993, on which the State plaintiffs and DFG have given their concurrence. The ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA.

- Q. EPA issued a Water Management FS to address area sources in the Slickrock Creek and Boulder Creek watersheds in June 1994 and published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.
- R. In response to the area source proposed plan, Defendant Rhône-Poulenc, Inc. submitted a Focused Feasibility Study that analyzed remedial alternatives focused on collecting and treating pollution from only the Slickrock Creek Watershed.
- S. In response to the Focused Feasibility Study, EPA conducted a Boulder Creek Remedial Alternatives Study in 1995, which examined whether the area sources in the Boulder Creek watershed could be remediated. EPA and Rhône-Poulenc submitted their respective analyses to a peer review panel in August 1995.
- T. EPA issued a revised Water Management Feasibility Study Addendum ("FSA") in May 1996, together with a proposed plan for the Slickrock Creek area source OU ("OU 4") and published notice of the completion of the FSA and of the proposed plan for remedial action in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.
- U. The decision by EPA on the interim remedial action to be implemented at the Site for OU 4 is embodied in a ROD ("ROD 4"), executed on September 30, 1997, on which the State plaintiffs and DFG have given their concurrence. The ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA.

V. The Site Operator (as defined in Section IV of this Consent Decree) will conduct activities at the Site, consistent with this Consent Decree and the attached Statement of Work ("SOW"), which provides for, *inter alia*, continued operation and maintenance of the remedies implemented pursuant to RODs 1-4.

W. American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company ("AISLIC") is a party to this Consent Decree solely for purposes of providing financial assurance to the extent set forth in the Iron Mountain Mine Manuscript Clean-Up Cost Cap - Pollution Legal Liability Select Insurance Policy ("Policy"), attached as Appendix J to this Consent Decree, unless the Policy is canceled as to the Site Operator under Section VI, Paragraph G 4 of the Policy. AISLIC is not obligated to perform any of the actions required by the Site Operator under this Consent Decree or the SOW except as set forth in the Policy, nor is AISLIC assuming any liability under this Consent Decree except as set forth in the Policy or arising from the administration thereof. AISLIC is not required to participate in the dispute resolution procedures contained in Section XIX of this Consent Decree except to the extent AISLIC is required to participate under the terms of the Policy. AISLIC has no obligations under the Consent Decree or the Policy until the policy premium and deposit have been paid in full.

- X. Based on the information presently available to EPA, the State plaintiffs, and DFG, EPA, the State plaintiffs, and DFG believe that the Work will be properly and promptly conducted by the Site Operator if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and the SOW.
- Y. The Site Operator, and IT (as defined in Section IV of this Consent Decree) to the extent that it is acting as Site Operator under this Consent Decree, shall be a Response Action Contractor ("RAC") as defined in Section 119(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9619(e), and the Site Operator's agreement to perform the Work (as defined in Section IV of this Consent Decree) under this Consent Decree and the SOW is an agreement within the meaning

- Z. None of the IT Parties nor the Site Operator, by entering into this Consent

 Decree and performing the Work under this Consent Decree and the SOW, shall be deemed to

 be a successor to the potential liabilities of any of the Settling Parties.
- AA. The Trustee, Trust I, and Trust II shall be afforded the protections provided in Section 107(n) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(n).
- AB. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, the Remedial Action selected by the RODs and the Work to be performed by the Site Operator shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.
- AC. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), EPA notified the United States Department of Interior, Fish & Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Reclamation, and the National Park Service; the United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and DFG of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to the natural resources under federal or state trusteeship. Claims of the Natural Resource Trustees have been resolved as part of this Consent Decree.
- AD. The Natural Resource Trustees allege that releases of hazardous substances at and from the Site have caused injuries to natural resources, beginning from the start of mining activities at Iron Mountain and continuing to the present day and into the future. Specifically, such injuries include acute and chronic injuries to anadromous and resident fish in watersheds draining Iron Mountain, including tributaries to, and the main stem of, the Sacramento River. Such alleged injuries also include the destruction of flora and fauna in riparian and upland habitat at the Site, as well as the loss of recreational services in areas affected by releases of hazardous substances at and from the Site. The Natural Resource Trustees allege that these injuries have resulted in natural resource damages, including damages for the lost use of

natural resources and associated services, damages for restoring, rehabilitating, replacing, or acquiring the equivalent of the affected natural resources, and the costs of assessing the injuries to the affected natural resources. The Settling Parties deny that any such injuries or damages have occurred.

AE. The Natural Resource Trustees have undertaken to evaluate the impacts from the Site's discharges on the affected natural resources and propose to carry out certain projects to restore, replace, or acquire the equivalent of such resources or their services. The Natural Resource Trustees will plan and implement the necessary restoration projects, pursuant to Sections 107 and 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9611, and other relevant federal and state laws.

AF. The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345 and 1651, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Parties, the Site Operator, IT, ITX, Trust I, Trust II, the Trustee, and AISLIC, which voluntarily submit to this Court's jurisdiction for purposes related to implementation of this Consent Decree and the SOW. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaints, the Settling Parties, the Site Operator, IT, ITX, Trust I, Trust II, the Trustee, and AISLIC waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. The Settling Parties, the Site Operator, IT, ITX, Trust I, Trust II, the Trustee, and AISLIC shall not challenge the terms of

this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree; however, the Site Operator and IT, to the extent that IT is acting as Site Operator under this Consent Decree, may seek to have the Court construe the terms of this Consent Decree as provided in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States and the State of California, on behalf of the Department of Toxic Substances Control, the California Hazardous Substance Account, the California Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund, the California Toxic Substances Control Account, the Regional Water Quality Control Board for the Central Valley Region, the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Fish and Game, and the State Lands Commission, and upon the Settling Parties, the Site Operator, IT, ITX, Trust I, Trust II, the Trustee, and AISLIC, and upon their successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of the Settling Parties, the Site Operator, IT, ITX, the Trust I, Trust II, the Trustee, and AISLIC including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter their responsibilities under this Consent Decree, except as provided in Paragraph 3, below. However, in the event that the Policy is canceled as to the Site Operator before the Effective Date of the Consent Decree pursuant to Section VI, Paragraph G.4 of the Policy, then IT, ITX, and any other IT Parties (including without limitation IT Iron Mountain Operations LLC and IT Administrative Services LLC) shall not be bound by this Consent Decree or have any liability to any party hereto under this Consent Decree, and all references to them in this Consent Decree shall be disregarded and of no force or effect.

3. <u>Transferability</u>.

A. <u>General</u>. The Site Operator may request that the Oversight Agency (as defined in Section IV of this Consent Decree) approve an assignment, delegation, or other transfer of the Site Operator's duties and obligations under this Consent Decree and the SOW

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- B. Procedure. If the Site Operator makes a request for approval of a transfer of its duties and obligations to a transferee other than IT, it shall submit information sufficient to allow the Oversight Agency to make an informed decision as to whether the proposed transfer of duties and obligations is acceptable and consistent with the terms and purposes of this Consent Decree. The Oversight Agency will not unreasonably withhold approval where the qualifications of the proposed transferee are equal or superior to those of the Site Operator and are otherwise acceptable to the Oversight Agency. In making its determination, the Oversight Agency may consider the following factors, or any combination of them:
- (1) The experience of the Oversight Agency or other government agencies with the proposed transferee's performance, including the transferee's proclivity towards claims and disputes;
 - (2) The business reputation of the proposed transferee;
- (3) The longevity and stability of the proposed transferee, including the proposed transferee's likely viability during the remaining term of the SOW;
- (4) The proposed transferee's technical qualifications for performing the Work required by the SOW;
- (5) The proposed transferee's financial ability to successfully complete the obligations of the SOW;
- (6) The proposed transferee's financial ability to meet the financial assurance requirements of the SOW and Consent Decree;
- (7) The proposed transferee's financial ability to pay Stipulated

 Penalties/Damages or other damages and amounts that could be assessed pursuant to the SOW;
 - (8) The continued responsibility of the Site Operator's corporate

guarantor; or the financial strength of any proposed corporate guarantor of the proposed transferee;

- (9) The identity, qualifications, experience, organizational and management skills of the proposed transferee's key project personnel;
- (10) The proposed transferee's agreement to commit its key project personnel to the Work;
- (11) The proposed transferee's agreement to be bound by all of the Site Operator's agreements under the Consent Decree and SOW; and
 - (12) Other relevant factors.

Any such request for approval shall be in writing and accompanied by: (1) audited financial statements of the proposed transferee and any guarantor for its most recent completed fiscal year and unaudited financial statements through the end of the proposed transferee's and any guarantor's most recent fiscal quarter; (2) a statement of the qualifications of the proposed transferee and any guarantor; (3) a statement of the identity, qualifications, experience and organizational and management skills of the proposed transferee's key project personnel; and (4) any other submissions considered appropriate by the proposed transferee.

- C. <u>Merger or Acquisition</u>. If the Site Operator, or its corporate guarantor
 - (1) is merged into another corporation or legal entity;
 - (2) is purchased, or
 - (3) substantially all of its assets are purchased,

such merger or acquisition will be considered a transfer subject to approval under this Paragraph. If the transfer effected by such merger or acquisition is not acceptable as a transfer under this Paragraph, the Oversight Agency may elect to perform a work takeover under Section 7.16 of the SOW.

D. <u>Successor Trusts and Trustees</u>. Trust I, Trust II, or Trustee may also request that the Oversight Agency approve appointment of a successor Trustee or

establishment of a successor Trust and, in making any such request, shall furnish the Oversight Agency with information sufficient to allow it to make an informed decision as to whether the appointment of the proposed successor Trustee or establishment of the proposed successor Trust is acceptable and consistent with the terms and purposes of this Consent Decree.

- guarantor's transfer of duties and obligations to a transferee, such duties and obligations shall be transferred to the transferee consistent with the terms of the transfer as approved by the Oversight Agency and, upon that occurrence, the Site Operator and/or corporate guarantor shall be relieved of such duties and obligations pursuant to the terms of the transfer as approved by the Oversight Agency. In the case of the appointment of a successor Trustee or the establishment of a successor Trust, the successor Trustee or Trust shall succeed to all the rights, title, duties, and obligations of its predecessor.
- F. Dispute Resolution. Disputes concerning the transfer of the Site

 Operator's duties and obligations, the appointment of a successor Trustee, or the establishment of a successor Trust shall be resolved in accordance with Section XIX of this Consent Decree (Dispute Resolution). In any such dispute, the Oversight Agency's determination that the proposed transfer of the Site Operator or corporate guarantor's duties and obligations, appointment of a successor Trustee, or establishment of a successor Trust is unacceptable and inconsistent with the terms and purposes of this Consent Decree shall be accorded substantial deference. Where the Site Operator or corporate guarantor notifies the Oversight Agency and the Support Agency (as defined in this Consent Decree) that time is of the essence, the Oversight Agency and the Support Agency will use best efforts to make as timely determination as is practicable under the circumstances. If the proposed transfer is rejected by the Oversight Agency (after consultation with the Support Agency as provided for by Paragraph 13), the Site Operator or corporate guarantor may invoke Dispute Resolution under

G. <u>Confirmation</u>. At the end of the Performance Period (as provided in the SOW) or upon any approved transfer of duties and obligations, appointment of a successor Trustee, or establishment of a successor Trust, the Oversight Agency, Support Agency, and the Site Operator, Trustee, or Trust, as appropriate, shall execute such documents, or join in such further proceedings, as are appropriate and lawful, to confirm, effectuate, or recognize any such proposed transfer of the Site Operator or corporate guarantor's duties and obligations, appointment of a successor Trustee, or establishment of a successor Trust, as agreed or as ordered by the Court under Section XIX of this Consent Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.

"Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXIX). In the event of conflict between this Consent Decree and any appendix, this Consent Decree shall control.

"Court" shall mean the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

"CHSA" shall mean the California Hazardous Substance Account as defined in Section 25330 of the California Health and Safety Code.

"CHSCF" shall mean the California Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund as defined in Section 25385.3 of the California Health and Safety Code.

ló

"CTSCA" shall mean the California Toxic Substances Control Account as defined in Section 25173.6 of the California Health and Safety Code.

"CVRWQCB" shall mean the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the Central Valley Region and any predecessor and successor agency, officials and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officials or employees.

"Date of Final Approval of this Consent Decree" shall mean the later of (1) the date on which the Court has approved and entered this Consent Decree as a judgment and all applicable appeal periods have expired without an appeal being filed, or (2) if an appeal is taken, the date on which the Court's judgment is affirmed and there is no further right to appellate review.

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day.

"Working day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or state or federal holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or state or federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

"DFG" shall mean the California Department of Fish and Game and any predecessor and successor agency, officials and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officials or employees.

"DTSC" shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control and any predecessor and successor agency, officials and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officials or employees.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.

6

10

15

13

19

21

22 23

24

25 26 destruction of, or loss of any and all Natural Resources.

and under applicable provisions of state law.

"Natural Resource Trustees" as used in this Consent Decree shall mean the United States Department of the Interior through the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the

"Effective Date" shall mean the date defined in Section XXVII of this Consent Decree.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under Subchapter A of Chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code, compounded on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

"IT" means IT Corporation, a California corporation, which is a subsidiary of ITX.

"IT Parties" means ITX and its subsidiary corporations, as well as any predecessors or successors thereof, to the extent of any derivative liability attributable to any such entities; the Site Operator (if an affiliate of one or more of the IT Parties described herein), as well as any successors; and each of their respective officers, directors, and employees, members, and managers, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officers, directors, or employees, members, or managers.

"ITX" means The IT Group, Inc. a Delaware corporation, which is jointly and severally liable with the Site Operator under this Consent Decree.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA. 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

"Natural Resources" shall have the meaning provided in Section 101(16) of CERCLA.

"Natural Resource Damages" shall mean damages, including the costs of damage assessment, recoverable under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, Section 311 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321, and applicable provisions of state law, for injury to,

42 U.S.C. § 9601(16), and as used in Section 311 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321.

 United States Bureau of Land Management, the United States Bureau of Reclamation, and the National Park Service; the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; and DFG.

"Oversight Agency" shall mean the agency (ies) of the United States or the State of California that serves as the "lead agency" within the meaning of the NCP.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States; the State of California, on behalf of DTSC, the CHSA, the CHSCF, the CTSCA, the CVRWQCB, DFG, the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB"), and the State Lands Commission ("SLC") ("the State agencies"); the Settling Parties; the Site Operator, IT, ITX, Trust I, Trust II, the Trustee, and AISLIC.

"Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and the State of California on behalf of DTSC and the CVRWQCB.

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 et seq. (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Records of Decision" or "RODs" shall mean RODs 1-4, and all attachments thereto.

RODs 1-4 are attached as Appendices A-D to this Consent Decree.

"Released Parties" means the Settling Parties and Aventis CropScience USA, LP. Rhodia, Inc., Imperial Chemical Industries PLC, ICI International Investments, Inc., Zeneca Holdings, Inc., Stauffer Chemical Company (a former corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware), Mountain Copper Company, Ltd. (a former corporation organized under the laws of Great Britain), Mountain Copper Company of California (a former corporation organized under the laws of the State of California), and Iron Mountain Corporation (a former corporation organized under the laws of the State of California), together with any of their predecessor or successor entities, and direct or indirect parents or subsidiaries, to the extent of any derivative liability attributable to any such entities, and further includes any of such entities' current or former officers, directors, and employees.

1 !

provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officers, directors, or employees.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral.

"Settling Defendant" means defendant Aventis CropScience USA Inc., formerly known as Stauffer Chemical Company, Rhône-Poulenc Basic Chemicals Company, and Rhône-Poulenc, Inc.

"Settling Parties" means the Settling Defendant, Stauffer Management Company, and Atkemix Thirty-Seven Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of Stauffer Management Company), together with any of their predecessor or successor entities, and direct or indirect parents or subsidiaries, to the extent of any derivative liability attributable to any such entities, and further includes any of such entities' current or former officers, directors, and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officers, directors, or employees.

"Site" shall mean, for purposes of this Consent Decree, the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site, located in Shasta County, California, approximately 9 miles northwest of the City of Redding, California, including without limitation approximately 8,000 acres of land that includes the mining property on the topographic feature known as Iron Mountain, several inactive underground and open pit mines, numerous waste piles, abandoned mining and smelter facilities, abandoned transportation facilities (including without limitation rail sidings at Matheson and Keswick, the tramway from the Richmond mine to Matheson, and the former rail line from Keswick to the Iron Mountain mine), mine drainage treatment facilities, the downstream reaches of Boulder Creek, Slickrock Creek, and Spring Creek, the Spring Creek Reservoir, the Spring Creek Debris Dam, and Keswick Reservoir (collectively, the "Iron Mountain Mine Property"), together with all locations (including without limitation any locations in or beyond the Sacramento River) where Waste Materials from the Iron Mountain Mine Property have spread or migrated by surface water, groundwater, air dispersion, or other

medium. The Site also includes the Land as defined in Paragraph 6.E. The Iron Mountain Mine Property and surrounding portions of the Sacramento River watershed are generally depicted on the map attached hereto as Appendix F.

"Site Operator" shall mean IT Iron Mountain Operations LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, and any successor thereto selected in accordance with the terms of this Consent Decree and the SOW. "Site Operator" also includes IT or AISLIC to the extent that IT or AISLIC acts as Site Operator under this Consent Decree. In the event that the Policy is canceled as to the Site Operator under Section VI, Paragraph G.4 of the Policy, prior to the Effective Date of the Consent Decree, then AISLIC shall be obliged to secure a replacement Site Operator acceptable to the United States and the State agencies, as provided in the Policy. Until such a replacement Site Operator is approved by the United States and the State agencies, AISLIC will be the Site Operator under this Consent Decree and the SOW.

"SLC" shall mean the State Lands Commission and any predecessor and successor agency, officials and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officials or employees.

"SOW Response Costs" means those costs defined in Paragraph 34 of Section 2 of the Statement of Work

"State" shall mean the State of California and all of its agencies, officials and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officials or employees.

"The State agencies" shall mean DTSC, the CHSA, the CHSCF, the CTSCA, the CVRWQCB, DFG, the SWRCB, and the SLC.

"The State plaintiffs" shall mean DTSC and the CVRWQCB.

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of activities at the Site, as set forth in Appendix E to this Consent Decree, and any modifications thereto made in accordance with this Consent Decree and the SOW.

2 !

"Support Agency" means the agency or agencies of the United States or the State of California that support the activities of the Oversight Agency in accordance with the NCP.

"SWRCB" shall mean the State Water Resources Control Board and any predecessor and successor agency, officials and employees, provided and to the extent that any such individuals were acting within the scope of their duties and in their capacity as officials or employees.

"Trust I" means the Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust I, established pursuant to, and governed by, the laws of the State of California and established to qualify as a trust established pursuant to Section 468B of the United States Internal Revenue Code, which shall hold certain rights, title, and other interests with respect to certain plant and fixed equipment at the Site.

"Trust II" means the Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust II established pursuant to, and governed by, the laws of the State of California and established to qualify as a trust established pursuant to Section 468B of the United States Internal Revenue Code.

"Trustee" means IT Administrative Services LLC, or any successor thereto selected in accordance with the terms of this Consent Decree, or Trust I or Trust II.

"United States" shall mean the United States of America and its departments and agencies.

"Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27); and (4) any "hazardous waste," "hazardous substance," "hazardous material," "waste," "pollutant," "contaminant," "mining waste," "pollution," or "contamination" under California Health & Safety Code §§ 25117, 25260, and 25316. California Water Code § 13050, and any provision of the California Fish & Game Code.

"Work" shall mean all activities required to be performed by the Site Operator under

this Consent Decree and the SOW, except those required by Section XXV (Retention of Records).

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

5. Objectives of the Parties. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the environment at the Site, to effect a release of the claims of the United States and the State agencies against the Released Parties, as set forth in Section XXI of this Consent Decree (Covenants by the United States and the State agencies), and to effect a release of the claims of the Released Parties against the United States and the State agencies as set forth in Section XXII of this Consent Decree (Covenants by Settling Defendants), all as provided in this Consent Decree.

6. Payment and Other Obligations of the Settling Parties

The Settling Parties shall pay a total amount consisting of the payments set forth in Paragraphs 6.A and 6.B, below, which payment obligations, at the Plaintiffs' direction, shall be satisfied as follows:

A. (1) Not later than 30 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Settling Parties shall pay the sum of \$18,718,091 (minus credits as set forth in Paragraph 6.A.(3), below) into an escrow account bearing interest on commercially reasonable terms, in a federally-chartered bank. Such monies shall remain in escrow and may not be withdrawn by the Settling Parties, unless the Consent Decree has been voided pursuant to Paragraph 110; in that event, all monies paid into escrow shall be returned to the Settling Parties together with accrued interest thereon. Within 15 days after the Date of Final Approval of this Decree, the Settling Parties shall cause the monies in escrow, together with accrued interest, to be paid as set forth below:

(a) \$8.0 million, together with accrued interest, shall be paid to the Department of the Interior, on behalf of the state and federal Natural Resource Trustees for the purposes set forth in Section XXXIII of this Consent Decree, by Electronic Funds

23 |

1	Transfer ("EFT") in accordance with the "The Department of the Interior Natural Resource
2	Damage Assessment and Restoration Fund Assessment and Settlement Deposit Remittance
3	Procedures" attached as Appendix I, on behalf of the state and federal Natural Resource
4	Trustees for the purposes set forth below. An additional payment of \$2 million, together with
5	accrued interest, for Natural Resource Trustee past costs shall be paid to the Natural Resource
6	Trustees, or into the Registry of the Court, in accordance with instructions to be submitted by
7	the Natural Resource Trustees. If paid into the Registry of the Court, the monies shall
8	disbursed from the Court Registry in accordance with instructions from the Natural Resource
9	Trustees. Transmittal letters indicating that the EFT and escrow disbursements have occurred
10	shall be sent to the Parties in accordance with Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions) and to:
11	Triscilla P. Taylor, Esq. Attorney Advisor
12	Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of the Interior
13	Washington, D.C. 20240
14	and Bruce Nesslage
15	DOI Restoration Fund Manager 1849 "C" Street, N.W.
16	Mail Stop 4449 Washington, D.C. 20240
17	The EFT and transmittal letter shall reflect that the payment is being made to the "Natural
18	Resources Damage Assessment and Restoration Fund, Account No. 14X5198. The
19	Department of the Interior will assign these funds a special project number to allow the funds
20	to be maintained as a segregated account within the Department of the Interior Natural
21	Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration Fund (the "Iron Mountain Mine NRD
22	Account").
23	(b) The Department of the Interior shall, in accordance with
24	law, manage and invest funds in the Iron Mountain Mine NRD Account and any return on
25	investments or interest accrued on the Account for use by the Natural Resource Trustees in
26	

Plant, and

connection with restoration of natural resources impacted by releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site. The Department of the Interior shall not make any charge against the Iron Mountain Mine NRD Account for any investment or management services provided.

- (c) The Department of the Interior shall hold all funds in the Iron Mountain Mine NRD Account, including return on investments or accrued interest, subject to the provisions of this Decree and any memorandum of understanding entered into by the Natural Resource Trustees.
- (2) The remainder of the funds contained in the escrow account, together with accrued interest shall be paid into in the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site Special Account, by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") in accordance with instructions to be provided to the Settling Parties by EPA following lodging of this Decree.
- (3) Credits against the monies to be paid pursuant to this Paragraph 6.A may be deducted, prior to payment into escrow, for the following:
 - (a) \$685,000 for upgrades to the Minnesota Flats Treatment
- (b) \$90,000 for costs incurred for installing cathodic protection on the thickener (provided that the Settling Parties have actually paid that amount).
- B. Not later than 30 days after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Settling Parties shall pay to Trust II, the qualified settlement fund established pursuant to Internal Revenue Code § 468B, the amount necessary to fully fund the Iron Mountain Mine Manuscript Clean-Up Cost Cap Pollution Legal Liability Select Insurance Policy issued by American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company ("AISLIC") and attached hereto as Appendix J ("Policy"). The amount necessary to fully fund the Policy is the Policy Premium, plus the Terminal Payment Deposit, plus the Site Operator Deposit of \$2,711,000 million, all as specified pursuant to the Policy and Endorsements 3 and 4 of the Policy. The estimated amount necessary to fully fund the Policy, based on designated interest rates in effect as

specified in Endorsements 3 and 4 of the Policy, is \$141,901,277. The actual amount necessary to fully fund the Policy may be higher or lower than this estimated amount, since the Policy Premium and Terminal Payment Deposit will be calculated from designated interest rates, as described in Endorsements 3 and 4 of the Policy. The Settling Parties shall notify the Trustee of the actual amount required to fully fund the Policy at the time of payment of that amount into Trust II. The Settling Parties have the option to pay the Policy Premium into escrow with AISLIC before or within 30 days after the Effective Date of the Consent Decree in order to lock in prevailing rates and, in that event, AISLIC as escrow agent shall transfer the Policy Premium into Trust II on the same day and at the same time that the Settling Parties pay the Terminal Payment Deposit into Trust II, but in no event shall such payment or transfer be made more than 30 days after the Effective Date of the Consent Decree.

- (1) The requirements of this subparagraph apply immediately upon payment to Trust II by the Settling Parties (or AISLIC) of the amount required to fully fund the Policy.
- (a) ITX shall ensure that Trust II pays the amounts specified for the Policy coverages in this Subparagraph, as required by the Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust Agreement II, attached hereto as Appendix K.
- paid to AISLIC the Policy Premium and the Terminal Payment Deposit and shall also pay \$2,711,000 to the Site Operator (representing the Site Operator Deposit to be used to fund a portion of the Site Operator's co-insurance participation and other obligations under the Policy and SOW). However, if the Policy is canceled as to the Site Operator under Section VI, Paragraph G.4 of the Policy, Trust II shall pay the Site Operator Deposit to AISLIC as premium.
- (2) The Policy will provide the necessary funding to defray the cost of activities conducted by the Site Operator under the oversight of the Oversight Agency and

Support Agency pursuant to this Consent Decree and the SOW and other Site costs. The Policy shall, among other things, guarantee to EPA and the State plaintiffs that the funds required to complete the Work to be undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree and the SOW by the Site Operator are secured up to the limits provided in the Policy, totaling \$301,706,450 (THREE HUNDRED AND ONE MILLION, SEVEN HUNDRED AND SIX THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS), for a term of at least 30 years, subject to the terms and conditions of the Policy. In addition, the Policy shall provide for payment of a Terminal Payment (as defined and provided for therein) at the end of the Policy period.

AISLIC shall be responsible to pay all of the costs and expenses of the Site Operator under the Consent Decree and the SOW, and in the event of a work takeover by the government, the government costs associated with the work takeover, up to the Policy limits and subject to the terms and conditions of the Policy.

- (3) The Settling Parties shall have no rights in the Policy or control over the Policy after its issue, except for the right to a refund under the formula specified within the Policy should the Decree be vacated after entry as set forth in Paragraph 110.
- (4) The terms of the Policy shall control to the extent of any inconsistency between such terms and the description of such terms provided in Paragraph 6.B.(2).
- C. In the event that the payments by the Settling Parties required by Paragraphs 6.A and 6.B are not made within 30 days of the Effective Date, the Settling Parties shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest to be paid on the amounts due under Paragraphs 6.A and 6.B shall begin to accrue 30 days after the Effective Date. The Interest shall accrue through the date of the Settling Parties' payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of Settling Parties' failure to make timely payments under this Section.

 The Settling Parties shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the manner

1 |

2

5

6 7

9

8

11

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

26

25

D. The Settling Parties shall send copies of all correspondence and other evidence of any transmittal of funds under this Paragraph to the Plaintiffs as specified in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).

- E. Upon the Date of Final Approval of this Consent Decree, Atkemix Thirty-Seven Inc. ("Atkemix Thirty-Seven") hereby grants to the United States, through the United States Bureau of Land Management ("BLM"), an option (the "Option") to acquire Atkemix Thirty-Seven's interest in certain parcels of land located in the area of Iron Mountain under the authority and provisions of Section 107(f)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(f)(1), and Section 205 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act. 43 U.S.C. Section 1715, and 43 C.F.R. Part 11. The parcels subject to the Option (the "Land") encompass approximately 1,250 acres of land. The Land is generally depicted as the shaded areas on the map attached to this Consent Decree as Appendix L; however, the parcel boundaries and other notations appearing on Appendix L are not meant to constitute controlling legal descriptions. The terms of the Option, and of the United States' exercise thereof, are as follows:
- Transfer from Atkemix Thirty-Seven to the United States of (1)Atkemix Thirty-Seven's interest the Land, in its entirety or any parcel therein, shall be in consideration of agreements contained in this Consent Decree and shall not require any further consideration. Restoration efforts undertaken on any Land the United States acquires under this Paragraph will be developed by the Natural Resource Trustees in accordance with Section XXXIII of this Consent Decree and funded from allocations made pursuant to Paragraphs 6.A.(1)(a) and 7.D of this Consent Decree.
- (2)The term of the Option (the "Option Term") shall be a period of 24 months from the Date of Final Approval of this Consent Decree. The United States may exercise its right to acquire Atkemix Thirty-Seven's interest in the Land or any parcel therein

during the Option Term. The United States may also, at its sole discretion, decline to exercise its right to acquire Atkemix Thirty-Seven's interest in the Land or any parcel therein during the Option Term.

- United States, or its representatives, access at reasonable times to any portion of the Land and any information pertaining to the Land for the purpose of inspecting the Land, complying with the United States Department of the Interior's acquisition policies, including 602 Departmental Manual, Chapter 2, and conducting other due diligence.
- Option shall be expressed by written communication of the BLM Director or his designee to Atkemix Thirty-Seven (the "Exercise Notice"). The Exercise Notice shall be sent by certified mail no later than the last day of the 24th month following the Date of Final Approval of this Consent Decree.
- (5) Within 30 days of the date of receipt by Atkemix Thirty-Seven of the Exercise Notice, Atkemix Thirty-Seven shall furnish the BLM Director or his designee, by certified mail, a proposed conveyance in the form of a grant deed (as provided for under State of California law). The BLM Director or his designee shall determine whether to accept the proposed conveyance within 60 days of receipt.
- (6) If the United States exercises the Option with respect to the Land or any parcel therein during the Option Term, Atkemix Thirty-Seven shall, within 30 days of the date of BLM's acceptance of the proposed conveyance, deliver to Escrow Holder, as defined below, an executed and notarized grant deed (the "Deed").
- (7) The conveyance to the United States shall be consummated through an escrow with an escrow holder to be agreed upon by the Atkemix Thirty-Seven and the BLM Director or his designee ("Escrow Holder"). The escrow shall be opened within five days after the Exercise Notice has been given to Atkemix Thirty-Seven. The Deed shall be

- (8) Rent, taxes, and other items of income and expenses shall be prorated as of the close of escrow.
- (9) The United States shall pay the charges of Escrow Holder, including recording fees and other fees and costs necessary to close escrow, together with the cost of obtaining title insurance, if applicable.
- F. The Settling Parties, upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, hereby transfer all right, title and interest they may have in any plant and fixed equipment at the Site to Trust I, in accordance with the terms of the Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust Agreement I, attached hereto as Appendix N. Said transfer is on an "as is" basis and without warranty of any sort. The Settling Parties also convey to Trust I as of the Effective Date an assignment of any warranty rights they may have from third parties with respect to the plant and equipment.
- 7. Within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, insurers for the State agencies, Pacific Indemnity Company, Underwriters at Lloyd's (by their agent Equitas Limited) and Company Market Insurers, shall pay, on behalf of the State agencies, \$8 million (EIGHT MILLION DOLLARS) collectively into an escrow account bearing interest on commercially reasonable terms, in a federally-chartered bank. Such monies shall remain in escrow and may not be withdrawn by the State agencies, unless the Consent Decree has been voided pursuant to Paragraph 110; in that event, all monies paid into escrow shall be returned to the State agencies' insurers identified above, together with accrued interest thereon. Within 15 days after the Date of Final Approval of this Consent Decree, the State agencies shall cause those funds, together with accrued interest, to be paid as follows:
- A. \$5.0 million, together with accrued interest, to the Site Operator to implement the Remedial Action associated with the mine working upgrades as set forth in

20 i

1	Section 9.9.2.2(5)(a) of the SOW, provided that the Policy has not been canceled as to the
2	Site Operator under Section VI, Paragraph G.4 of the Policy. Such monies shall be paid to the
3	Site Operator only after the Site Operator meets the financial assurance requirements for such
4	work as set forth in the SOW. In the event that the Policy is canceled as to the Site Operator
5	under Section VI, Paragraph G.4 of the Policy, \$5.0 million (together with accrued interest)
6	shall be instead paid as premium to AISLIC, which shall arrange for performance of the work
7	described in this Subparagraph, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Policy and
8	the SOW. EPA agrees that the monies paid under this Subparagraph to the Site Operator or to
9	AISLIC for performance of the Remedial Action associated with the mine working upgrades
10	are reasonable, documented, direct, extra-mural, out-of-pocket expenditures of non-Federal
11	funds that have not been previously applied or reimbursed and shall be available to the State
12	for use as a credit against cost-share commitments under the State Superfund Contract in
13	connection with the Slickrock Creek Remedial Action, as amended to reflect the availability of
14	the credit as provided under this Subparagraph, and any future State Superfund Contracts for
15	the Site.

B. \$1 million, together with accrued interest, to DTSC. The monies paid under this Subparagraph shall be paid to DTSC, along with a transmittal letter identifying the Iron Mountain Mine project Code (Project Code 100077) to:

DTSC Accounting Unit P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806 Project Code 100077

- C. \$1 million, together with accrued interest, to the CVRWQCB for payment into a special account for use in connection with the Site pursuant to instructions to be provided by the CVRWQCB; and
- D. \$1 million, together with accrued interest, for payment into the DOI fund described in Paragraph 6.A.(1)(a), above.

2627

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8. Commitments by the Site Operator and AISLIC

A. Performance of the Work. The Site Operator shall perform activities in accordance with this Consent Decree and the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth therein or as modified in accordance with the SOW, commencing on the date of purchase of the Policy. Upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Site Operator shall arrange for the orderly assumption of its obligations under the SOW and this Consent Decree in a manner that ensures the continuous operation of the Treatment Plant and related facilities during the period between the termination of the Settling Parties' obligations under Paragraph 118 of this Consent Decree and the start of the Site Operator's performance under the SOW. If the Consent Decree is not approved and entered by the Court, or if its approval and entry is subsequently vacated on appeal of such approval and entry, the Site Operator shall have no obligations under this Consent Decree or the SOW, unless the Parties otherwise agree.

- B. <u>Obligations of IT and ITX</u>. IT and ITX shall be jointly and severally liable with the Site Operator. IT or, as appropriate, ITX shall take all steps necessary to cause the Site Operator to:
- (1) post, provide, and maintain in effect the financial assurances referenced in Section 7.13 of the SOW:
- post, provide, and maintain in effect the insurance coverages referenced in Section 5.1 and 5.2 of the SOW; and

(3)

SOW and otherwise perform the Work as set forth in the SOW.

In the event that the Oversight Agency deems it necessary to seek performance by IT or ITX of their obligations under this Paragraph, it may notify IT and ITX to require them to take all steps necessary to cause the Site Operator to perform as provided immediately above and shall send such notice to IT, ITX, and AISLIC at the same time it provides notice to the Site

meet the Performance Standards and other requirements of the

1 |

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9. <u>Compliance With Applicable Law</u>. The Site Operator shall comply with all applicable federal and state laws as provided in the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by the Oversight Agency, shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

10. Permits

A. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any response actions conducted entirely on-site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination and all suitable areas in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of such response actions) where such action is

2627

selected and carried out in accordance with CERCLA Section 121. Where any activity that is not on-Site (as defined in the SOW) requires a federal or state permit or approval, the Site Operator shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.

- B. The Site Operator may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of activities resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for such activities.
- C. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.
- 11. <u>Notice to Successors-in-Title</u>. As set forth in the SOW, the Site Operator shall provide notice to successors-in-title to any property interest acquired by the Site Operator in the Site.
- between this Consent Decree and the SOW, or between the Consent Decree and the Policy, the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree shall govern, except that (1) the definition of "Site" contained in the SOW shall govern the Site Operator's obligations under the SOW, and (2) the terms of the Policy shall control to the extent of any inconsistency between such terms and the description of such terms provided in Paragraph 6.B.(2) of this Consent Decree.
- 13. <u>United States State Relationship</u>. The relationship between the United States and the State regarding this Consent Decree and oversight and support of the Work by the Site Operator shall be governed by the Memorandum of Understanding Regarding The Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site Between The United States Environmental Protection Agency and The California Department of Toxic Substances Control and The California Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board ("MOU"), attached hereto as Appendix H.
- A. Oversight and Support Agencies. EPA shall serve as the Oversight Agency, and the State plaintiffs shall designate the State agency(ies) that will serve as the

- B. Future Site Activities. The United States and the State plaintiffs understand that, 30 years and one day after the Policy is funded pursuant to the terms of this Consent Decree, AISLIC shall make a payment ("Terminal Payment"), pursuant to Endorsement 4 of the Policy. The United States and the State plaintiffs further understand that the Policy provides for a Notional Commutation Account from which certain funds may be available for disbursement to the Plaintiffs upon commutation of the Policy in the 31st year following the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Subject to further agreement of the United States and the State in accordance with Paragraph 3.1.2 of the MOU, or to resolution by the Court as necessary pursuant to Paragraph 3.1.2 of the MOU, the Terminal Payment and any funds payable to the Plaintiffs from the Notional Commutation Account shall be paid into the federal Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site Special Account, appropriate State account, or other appropriate account, or shall be otherwise retained for the purposes set forth below. Subject to further agreement of the United States and the State in accordance with the terms of the MOU, the Terminal Payment and any monies available to the Plaintiffs from the Notional Commutation Account shall be used for the following purposes in the following order of priority:
- (1) First, payment of the costs of continued performance of the Work as defined in this Consent Decree and other actions in connection with operation and maintenance of the remedies selected in RODs 1-4, by the Oversight Agency, Support Agency, or other entity, for as long as necessary beyond the term set forth in the SOW;
- (2) Second, and only to the extent that the costs of Item (1), above, are able to be fully defrayed, payment of the costs of additional response actions at the Site, not coming within the scope of Item (1), above; and
 - (3) Third, and only to the extent that the costs of Items (1) and (2).

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

above, are able to be fully funded, payment of unrecovered past response costs incurred by the Oversight and Support Agencies.

Disputes that cannot be resolved by consultation between the United States and the State in accordance with the terms of the MOU or otherwise may be brought to the Court for resolution, pursuant to Paragraph 103.G of Section XXVIII of this Consent Decree (Retention of Jurisdiction).

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY THE SITE OPERATOR

14. Site Activities

- A. The Site Operator shall perform the Work required by the SOW, meet the performance standards contained in the SOW, and otherwise comply with the terms of the SOW and this Consent Decree.
- B. At the conclusion of the performance period as specified in the SOW, or if the Court does not approve and enter the Consent Decree (or if its approval and entry is overturned on appeal of such approval and entry), the Site Operator shall assist the Oversight Agency in the orderly transition of responsibility for Site activities from the Site Operator to EPA, the State plaintiffs, or other entity identified by the Oversight Agency and Support Agency.
- 15. <u>Modification of Work Plans</u>. The Oversight Agency may modify any work plans developed pursuant to the SOW or Work carried out under the SOW, in accordance with the terms of the SOW.
- 16. Off-Site Shipment of Waste Material. The Site Operator shall, prior to any off-site shipment of Waste Material from the Site to an out-of-state waste management facility, comply with the terms governing such off-site shipment contained in the SOW.

VII. REMEDY REVIEW

17. <u>Periodic Review</u>. The Site Operator shall assist the Oversight Agency in remedy review activities conducted under Section 121(c) of CERCLA, in accordance with the

- that the remedial actions set forth in RODs 1-4 and the actions required by the SOW are not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may select further response actions for the Site in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA, the NCP, and applicable federal and state law. Unless otherwise agreed by the Oversight Agency and the Site Operator, further response actions, including any further response actions implemented through future records of decision (such as decisions that address the Boulder Creek area sources and downstream sediments), or a modification, amendment, or explanation of significant difference of the RODs, shall neither diminish nor increase the scope of the Site Operator's obligations under this Consent Decree and the SOW. The provisions of this Paragraph shall not be construed as enlarging the obligations of the Settling Parties under Paragraph 6 of this Consent Decree, nor as limiting the rights of the Released Parties under Section XXI of this Consent Decree (Covenants Not to Sue by the United States and the State agencies).
- 19. Remedy Consultation. The Oversight Agency and the Natural Resource Trustees will engage in remedy consultation prior to the development of future response actions at the Site. The Oversight Agency, along with the Natural Resource Trustees, will develop procedures for implementing remedy consultation under this Paragraph.

VIII. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, and DATA ANALYSIS

- 20. The Site Operator shall conduct sampling activities, report the results of such sampling, and use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures as specified in the SOW.
- 21. Subject only to the provisions of this Consent Decree governing the specific rights and obligations of the Released Parties and the Site Operator, the United States and the State hereby retain all of their information-gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other

applicable tederal or state law, statutes, or regulations.

IX. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS

- 22. The Settling Parties shall comply with the access and institutional control requirements contained in the Access Agreement attached to this Consent Decree as Appendix M.
- 23. The Site Operator shall comply with the access and institutional control requirements contained in the SOW.
- 24. If the Oversight Agency or the Support Agency determines that land/water use restrictions in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances or other governmental controls are needed to implement the interim remedies selected in the RODs, ensure the integrity and protectiveness thereof, or ensure non-interference therewith, the Site Operator shall cooperate with the efforts of the Oversight Agency or Support Agency to secure such governmental controls, in accordance with the SOW.
- 25. Subject only to the provisions of this Consent Decree governing the specific rights and obligations of the Released Parties and the Site Operator, the United States and the State retain all of their access authorities and rights, as well as all of their rights to require land/water use restrictions, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable federal or state law, statutes, or regulations.

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

26. The Site Operator shall comply with all reporting requirements as specified in the SOW.

XI. OVERSIGHT AGENCY APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS

The Site Operator shall submit all plans and other deliverables for approval by the Oversight Agency and Support Agency, as specified in the SOW.

XII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

28. Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators shall be designated as

G

29.

3 EPA and State employees, and federal and State contractors and consultants, to observe and 4 5 6 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 |

26

1

monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree and the SOW. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager ("RPM") and an On-Scene Coordinator ("OSC") by the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. In addition, EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the National Contingency Plan, to halt any activity required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when s/he determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.

Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to,

XIII. ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

- 30. The Site Operator shall assure its financial ability to perform the activities required by this Consent Decree and the SOW as set forth in the SOW.
 - 31. AISLIC shall provide financial assurances to the extent required by the Policy.

XIV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

32. Completion of Performance. The Site Operator shall comply with the procedures governing certification of completion of the activities required under this Consent Decree and the SOW, as specified in the SOW.

XV. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

- 33. The Site Operator shall comply with the emergency response procedures specified in the SOW.
- Nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed 34. to limit any authority of the United States or the State to take all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Consent Decree (Covenants Not to Sue by the United States and the State agencies).

XVI. REIMBURSEMENT OF SOW RESPONSE COSTS

- 35. In the event that any SOW Response Costs are owing to the Oversight Agency under Paragraph 76 of this Consent Decree and the SOW, the Site Operator shall remit such amounts within 30 days of receipt of a notice requiring payment, unless the Site Operator invokes the Dispute Resolution provisions of Section XIX. If the Site Operator invokes Dispute Resolution to dispute its liability for, the amount of, or payment of SOW Response Costs, the Site Operator shall pay any SOW Response Costs determined to be owing within 30 days of final resolution of the dispute. The Site Operator shall make all payments owing in accordance with the procedures set forth in Paragraph 37 of this Consent Decree.
- 36. In the event that the payments required by Paragraph 35 are not made within 30 days of the Site Operator's receipt of a notice requiring such payment, the Site Operator shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest on such SOW Response Costs shall begin to accrue on the date of the notice. The Interest shall accrue through the date of the Site Operator's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of the Site Operator's failure to make timely payments under this Section.
- 37. The Site Operator shall make all payments required under this Section by EFT or other mechanism in accordance with instructions furnished by the Oversight Agency with its notice requiring such payment.

XVII. INDEMNIFICATION

38. The United States and the State do not assume any liability by entering into this agreement or by virtue of any designation of the Site Operator as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Neither the United States nor the State shall be held out as a party to any subcontract entered into by or on behalf of the Site Operator in

26 27

39. The Site Operator waives all claims against the United States and the State for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or the State, arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between the Site Operator and any subcontractor, agent, or other person retained in connection with performance of activities required by this Consent Decree. In addition, the Site Operator shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and the State with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between the Site Operator and any subcontractor, agent, or other person retained in connection with performance of activities required by this Consent Decree. The Site Operator shall further provide for indemnification to the United States and the State as provided for in the SOW.

XVIII. FORCE MAJEURE

- 40. The Site Operator may invoke claims of Force Majeure only in accordance with the procedures specified in the SOW.
- 41. Disputes concerning any claim of Force Majeure shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

XIX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

42. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree or the SOW, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes between the Oversight Agency and the Site Operator arising under or with respect to this Consent Decree and the SOW. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States or the State to enforce obligations of the Site Operator that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section. Subject to the reservations

- 43. Any dispute that arises between the Oversight Agency and the Site Operator under or with respect to this Consent Decree or the SOW shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed 20 days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute. All Parties shall make reasonable efforts to informally resolve disputes at the Project Manager/Coordinator or immediate-supervisor level.
- 44. A. In the event that the parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by the Oversight Agency shall be considered binding unless, within 14 days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the Site Operator invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the Oversight Agency and Support Agency a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by the Site Operator.
- B. Within 21 days after receipt of the Statement of Position submitted by the Site Operator, the Oversight Agency after consultation with the Support Agency will serve on the Site Operator its Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by

- 45. Formal dispute resolution shall be governed by this Paragraph.
- A. Following receipt of the Statement of Position of the Site Operator, submitted pursuant to Paragraph 44.A, and after consultation with the Support Agency, the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9. if EPA is the Oversight Agency, or the equivalent State official(s), if the State plaintiffs are serving as Oversight Agency, will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The Oversight Agency's decision shall be binding on the Site Operator unless, within 10 days of receipt of the decision, the Site Operator files with the Court and serves on the parties a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The Oversight Agency after consultation with the Support Agency may file a response to the motion.
- B. In any dispute under this Paragraph, the Site Operator shall bear the burden of demonstrating, to the satisfaction of the Court, that its position achieves the objectives of this Consent Decree and the SOW. The Oversight Agency shall bear the burden of production concerning the calculation of Stipulated Penalties or Damages it asserts are owing as to matters in dispute.
- 46. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone or affect in any way any obligation of the Site Operator under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless the Oversight Agency or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated Penalties/Damages with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 62. Notwithstanding any stay of payment, Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event

-39-

1 hat the Site Operator does not prevail on the disputed issue, Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties/Damages): provided, however, that if the Site Operator does not prevail in Dispute Resolution, the Site Operator shall not be subject to Stipulated Penalties/Damages that accrue during the Dispute Resolution process for portions of Work or portions of submittals that are directly in dispute if the Site Operator can show (1) that its position was substantially justified, (2) that the item of Work in dispute was not materially defective, and (3) that invocation of Dispute Resolution was not an abuse of the Dispute Resolution Process. Even if the Site Operator is unable to make such a showing, the Oversight Agency in its discretion may elect to waive or reduce any Stipulated Penalties/Damages otherwise owing.

XX. STIPULATED PENALTIES/DAMAGES

47. The Settling Parties shall be liable for Stipulated Penalties/Damages to the United States in the amounts set forth below, to accrue per violation per day, for any noncompliance by the Settling Parties with the payment requirements of Paragraph 6 this Consent Decree:

Penalty Per Violation Per Day	Period of Noncompliance
\$5,000	1st through 14th day
\$10,000	15th through 30th day
\$15,000	31st day and beyond

48. All Stipulated Penalties/Damages accruing under Paragraph 47 shall be due and payable to the United States within 30 days of the Settling Parties' receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties. All payments to the United States under Paragraph 47 shall be paid to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site Special Account, by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") in accordance with instructions to be provided to the Settling Parties by EPA following lodging of this Consent Decree. A transmittal letter referencing any such payment shall refer to the Site/Spill ID # 0917, the DOJ case number 90-11-3-196A, and the name and

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	address of the party making payment and shall be sent to EPA and the state agencies as	
2	provided in Section XXVI of this Consent Decree (Notice and Submissions) and to:	
3	Catherine Shen	
4	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, Attn: Superfund Accounting	
5	P.Ö. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251	
6	49. The payment of penalties shall not alter in any way the Settling Parties'	
7	obligations under this Consent Decree.	
8	50. If the Settling Parties fail to pay Stipulated Penalties/Damages when due, the	
9	United States may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as Interest. The	
10	Settling Parties shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue on the	
11	date of demand made pursuant to Paragraph 48.	
12	51. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in	
13	any way limiting the ability of the United States or the State to seek any other remedies or	
14	sanctions available by virtue of the Settling Parties' violation of this Consent Decree.	
15	52. The Site Operator shall be liable for Stipulated Penalties/Damages for failure to	
16	comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree and the SOW, as set forth in this	
17	Section, unless excused under Section XVIII (Force Majeure).	
18	53. As set forth below, Stipulated Penalties/Damages are assessed under four	
19	general circumstances:	
20	A. Failure to collect, convey and treat all Designated Contaminant	
21	Discharges as required by the SOW;	
22	B. Failure to timely or properly complete submittals required by the SOW	
23	or this Consent Decree.	
24	C. Failure to comply with selected O&M requirements of the SOW; and	
25	D. Failure to comply with other O&M requirements of the SOW.	
26	If a failure to comply with this Consent Decree and SOW triggers Stipulated	
27	-41-	
28	- 1	

 Penalties/Damages under more than one of these circumstances, the higher Stipulated Penalty will apply.

- 54. Stipulated Penalties/Damages are calculated on a daily basis. Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Decree, all Stipulated Penalties/Damages continue to accrue until the improper discharge ceases or until the failure to comply with O&M requirements or the failure to timely or properly complete reports is rectified.
- 55. Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall be calculated in the following manner, except to the extent prohibited by statute:
 - A. Release or discharge of Designated Contaminant Discharge
- (1) Trigger: Any discharge or release into the environment of Designated Contaminant Discharge except as specifically allowed in the SOW.
- (2) Stipulated Penalties/Damages Calculation: For each day of violation, Stipulated Penalties of \$10,000 plus a Stipulated Damage amount of two (2) times the Estimated Cost (as calculated below) of treating the released Designated Contaminant Discharge. The Estimated Cost of AMD treatment shall be \$25 per 1000 gallons of treated AMD (increased by the Inflation Escalator as defined in the SOW).
- (3) Stipulated Penalties/Damages Calculation for limited releases that do not enter surface waters: Notwithstanding Subparagraph (2), above, if, including the release at issue, there have been three or fewer releases or discharges of Designated Contaminant Discharges into the environment over the last 5 years, and if the Site Operator establishes that (i) the total current release is 10 gallons or less and (ii) that the current release did not enter the surface waters either directly or indirectly, then for each day of violation Stipulated Penalties/Damages are assessed as follows:

Period of Non-Compliance	Daily Stipulated Penalty Amount
1st through 14th day	\$2,000
15th through 30th day	\$4,000
31st day and beyond	\$5,000

26 |

B. Failure to complete submittals in a timely or proper manner.

(1) Trigger: Any failure to timely provide complete workplans and reports meeting the requirements of the SOW and the Performance Standards and Verification Plan.

disapproves or modifies a plan, report or item due to a material defect, Stipulated
Penalties/Damages are measured from the date the plan, report or item was initially due.
(SOW Section 7.11). If a plan, report or item is not timely submitted, but is otherwise proper and complete, Stipulated Penalties/Damages are measured from five working days after the Oversight Agency provides notice to the Site Operator that the plan, report or item is overdue. Stipulated Penalties/Damages commence on the 5th working day after written notice is sent to the Site Operator of a failure to timely or properly complete required workplans or reports. Stipulated Penalties/Damages will not be assessed if the failure is rectified within the 5 working day period. If it is not, Stipulated Penalties/Damages are assessed as follows:

Period of Non-Compliance (Days start	Daily Stipulated Penalty Amount
after expiration of 5 working day period.)	
1" through 14 th day	\$1.000
15 th through 30 th day	\$2,000
31" day and beyond	\$5.000

- C. Failure to Comply with Selected O&M Requirements.
 - (1) Triggers:
- (a) Failure to comply with the access requirements for Critical Access Roads and Important Access Roads. (SOW Section 8.6);
- (b) Failure to comply with the requirements for Clean Water Diversions. (SOW Sections 8.11; 9.10.2.2; 9.10.3.2; and 9.10.4.2, regarding inspection and

maintenance activities necessary to assure meeting Performance Standards during elevated flow conditions);

(c) Failure to comply with the requirements for sediment controls. (SOW Sections 8.11.1(2); 8.11.2(2); 8.11.3(2); 8.11.4(2); 8.13(8), and 8.13(9)); or

(d) Failure to comply with the Surface Water Control requirements (SOW Sections 8.5.2(1); 8.8(3); 8.11.4(1); 8.14(2); 8.17(2); 9.5.2(1), 9.5.2(2); 9.7.2(5), 9.7.2(7); 9.10.5.2; 9.12.2; and 9.13.2).

(2) Stipulated Penalty Calculations

Period of Non-Compliance	Daily Stipulated Penalty Amount
Starting on the occurrence of the non-	\$5.000
compliance. 1st through 5th day	
After 5 th day of non-compliance, 5 th day	\$7,500
through 20 th day after written notice of	
non-compliance is sent to the Site	
Operator	
After 21st day and beyond after written	\$10,000
notice is sent of non-compliance is sent to	
the Site Operator	

- (3) To the extent that Stipulated Penalties/Damages in this subsection are triggered by written notice, the Stipulated Penalties/Damages will not be assessed if the Site Operator rectifies the failure within 5 calendar days of the notice of non-compliance.
 - D. Failure to Comply with other Requirements of the SOW or Consent

 Decree
- (1) Trigger: Any failure to comply with an approved workplan or any other requirement contained in Section 4 through 14 of the SOW or this Consent Decree, except those referenced in Subparagraphs A through C, above.

(2) Stipulated Penalty Calculations: Stipulated Penalties/Damages for these failures commence on the 5th calendar day after written notice is sent to the Site Operator of a failure to comply with requirements, or following such longer period as specified by the Oversight Agency in its sole discretion. Stipulated Penaltics/Damages will not be assessed if the failure is rectified within the 5 working day period, or such longer period as determined by the Oversight Agency in its sole discretion. If it is not, Stipulated Penalties/Damages are assessed as follows:

Period of Non-Compliance (Days start	Daily Stipulated Penalty Amount
after expiration of 5 calendar day period.)	
1" through 14 th day	\$2,000
15 th through 30 th day	\$5,000
31st day and beyond	\$10,000

- 56. In the event that the Oversight Agency takes over all or a portion of the performance of activities otherwise required to be performed by the Site Operator under this Consent Decree and the SOW, the Site Operator shall be liable for reimbursement of SOW Response Costs to the Oversight Agency as specified in the SOW.
- Penalties/Damages shall begin to accrue on the day after the complete performance is due or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall not accrue: (1) with respect to a deficient submission under Section XI (Oversight Agency Approval of Plans and Other Submissions), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after the Oversight Agency's receipt of such submission until the date that the Oversight Agency notifies the Site Operator of any deficiency; (2) with respect to a decision by the Oversight Agency, under Paragraph 45.A of Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 21st day after the date that the Site Operator's reply to the Oversight Agency's Statement of Position is received until the date that the Oversight Agency

issues a final decision regarding such dispute; (3) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any dispute under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a final decision regarding such dispute or (4) with respect to work taken over by the Oversight Agency, after the Site Operator receives notice that the Oversight Agency is taking over that portion of work pursuant to the Work Takeover provisions of the SOW. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate damages for separate violations of this Consent Decree or the SOW.

- 58. Following the Oversight Agency's determination that the Site Operator has failed to comply with a requirement of this Consent Decree, the Oversight Agency may give the Site Operator written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. The Oversight Agency may send the Site Operator a written demand for the payment of Stipulated Penalties/Damages. However, unless otherwise specified in this Consent Decree or the SOW, Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall accrue as provided in the preceding Paragraph regardless of whether the Oversight Agency has notified the Site Operator of a violation. Except with respect to Stipulated Penalties/Damages that may be assessed under Paragraph 55.B, notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree or the SOW, in all cases where the Site Operator obtains actual knowledge of a violation and does not provide timely notice of such violation to the Oversight Agency, Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall accrue as of the first date of violation and the requirement that the Oversight Agency provide notice and an opportunity to cure shall not apply, but the Site Operator shall nevertheless be entitled to any opportunity to cure provided in Paragraph 55.B of this Consent Decree.
- All Stipulated Penalties/Damages accruing under this Section shall be due and payable to the Oversight Agency within 30 days of the Site Operator's receipt from the Oversight Agency of a demand for payment of the Stipulated Penalties/Damages, unless the Site Operator invokes the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XIX (Dispute

-46-

24

- 60. All Stipulated Penalties/Damages provided for in the schedules set out in this Section shall be adjusted annually for inflation, according to the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) published by the Department of Labor, Bureau of Statistics, to reflect payment in September 2000 dollars. If the CPI-U ceases to exist, an appropriate analogue will be used for this purpose.
- 61. The payment of Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall not alter in any way the Site Operator's obligation to complete the performance of the activities required under this Consent Decree.
- 62. Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 57 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:
- Α. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by a decision of the Oversight Agency that is not appealed to this Court, accrued Stipulated Penalties/Damages determined to be owing shall be paid to the Oversight Agency within 15 days of the agreement or the receipt of the Oversight Agency's decision or order;
- B. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the Oversight Agency prevails in whole or in part, the Site Operator shall pay all accrued Stipulated Penalties/Damages determined by the Court to be owed to the Oversight Agency within 60 days of receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in Paragraph C below;
- C. If the District Court's decision is appealed by either party to the dispute, the Site Operator shall pay all accrued Stipulated Penalties/Damages determined by the District Court to be owing to the Oversight Agency into an interest-bearing escrow account within 60

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

10

11

18 19

16

17

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every 60 days. Within 15 days of receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall be directed to pay the balance of the account to the Oversight Agency or to the Site Operator to the extent that each has prevailed.

- 63. If the Site Operator fails to pay Stipulated Penalties/Damages when due, the Oversight Agency may institute proceedings to collect the damages, as well as Interest. The Site Operator shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue on the date of demand made pursuant to Paragraph 59.
- 64. Subject to the covenants and reservations contained in Paragraphs 73, 74, and 75 of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State agencies reserve their rights to seek such remedies or sanctions as are available under applicable state or federal laws for the Site Operator's violation of this Consent Decree. Stipulated Penalties/Damages shall be the exclusive monetary remedy for violations by the Site Operator of the requirements of the Work, as set forth in the schedules contained in Paragraph 55 of this Consent Decree, and that arise from conduct that is not negligent, grossly negligent, or does not constitute intentional misconduct. As to any such violation for which the Oversight Agency or the Support Agency in its discretion elects to seek Stipulated Penalties/Damages and Stipulated Penalties/Damages are paid (or determined through Dispute Resolution not to be owing), neither the Oversight Agency nor the Support Agency shall seek other available monetary remedies or sanctions for such violations as to which it has sought Stipulated Penalties/Damages. In the event that the United States or the State agencies elect to pursue other available remedies or sanctions for conduct of the Site Operator that is negligent, grossly negligent, or that constitutes intentional misconduct, neither the Oversight Agency nor the Support Agency will seek Stipulated Penalties/Damages for violations for which it is pursuing such other remedies or sanctions. Subject to Paragraph 80, the Site Operator preserves any claims and defenses as to such

65. Coordination between the Oversight Agency and the Support Agency. The Oversight Agency and the Support Agency shall coordinate concerning enforcement of this Consent Decree as provided in the MOU. In accordance with the MOU, the following provisions apply:

misconduct shall not be subject to judicial review.

- As to violations arising from conduct that is not negligent, grossly Α. negligent, or that does not constitute intentional misconduct: the Support Agency will not bring an enforcement action for Stipulated Penalties/Damages if the Oversight Agency pursues an enforcement action for Stipulated Penalties/Damages for the violation. If the Oversight Agency elects not to pursue an enforcement action, the Support Agency may bring an action for Stipulated Penalties/Damages only after complying with the procedures set forth in the MOU.
- B. Violations based on negligence that do not result in a release to waters of the State or United States: the Support Agency and the State agencies that are serving as neither the Oversight Agency nor the Support Agency may not bring an enforcement action for Stipulated Penalties/Damages or other monetary sanctions if the Oversight Agency takes an enforcement action for Stipulated Penalties/Damages or other monetary sanctions. If the Oversight Agency elects not to pursue an enforcement action, the Support Agency and the State agencies that are serving as neither the Oversight Agency nor the Support Agency may bring an action for Stipulated Penalties/Damages or other monetary sanctions only after complying with the procedures set forth in the MOU. The Support Agency and the State agencies that are serving as neither the Oversight Agency nor the Support Agency may bring

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an action for injunctive relief in a manner consistent with CERCLA and the SOW, whether or not the Oversight Agency has brought an enforcement action for the violation.

- C. <u>For all other violations</u>: EPA and the State agencies reserve their rights to bring enforcement actions, as provided in this Consent Decree.
 - 66. If the Oversight Agency determines that the Site Operator:
- A. used best efforts to anticipate and prevent the trigger of the event giving rise to Stipulated Penalties/Damages, and
- B. used best efforts to respond to the noncompliance and minimize the duration of the noncompliance, then the Oversight Agency will not, absent unusual circumstances, impose Stipulated

Penalties/Damages to the extent that either:

- C. the noncompliance results from a design failure of equipment or facilities designed, constructed, or installed by the Site Operator or its agents and the design was reasonably calculated to achieve the Performance Standards or other requirements of the SOW in a highly reliable manner, or
- D. the noncompliance results from a design or construction failure of equipment or facilities designed, constructed, or installed by an entity other than the Site Operator or its agents and the design, construction, or installation defect was latent and not discoverable by the Site Operator or its agents through reasonable means.

 Factors to consider in whether the design was reasonably calculated to achieve the
- Performance Standards and other requirements of the SOW in a highly reliable manner include whether the design was approved by the Oversight Agency without reservation, whether the Site Operator diligently implemented the design as approved, whether the design utilized best engineering practices, and other relevant factors.
- 67. The Oversight Agency may not impose Stipulated Penalties/Damages for a particular noncompliance with the Consent Decree or SOW if the Oversight Agency has not

- 68. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the Oversight Agency may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of Stipulated Penalties/Damages that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree. In deciding whether to waive Stipulated Penalties/Damages, the Oversight Agency may consider, among other factors, the overall efforts taken by the Site Operator to prevent and minimize the duration and impact of the trigger for the Stipulated Penalties/Damages, the history of the Site Operator's compliance with the Decree, the degree of culpability, and the savings (if any) resulting from the noncompliance. In the event that the Oversight Agency elects not to pursue Stipulated Penalties/Damages under this Section, the Support Agency may impose Stipulated Penalties/Damages hereunder, after following the procedures set forth in the MOU and in accordance with Paragraphs 64 and 65 of this Consent Decree. In such cases, references to "Oversight Agency" in Sections XVIII, XIX, and XX shall be read as including the "Support Agency," and references to "Support Agency" shall be read as including "Oversight Agency," consistent with this Paragraph.
- 69. The United States, the State agencies, and the Site Operator acknowledge and agree that any Stipulated Penalties/Damages that may be assessed under Section XX of this Consent Decree shall be deemed to be penalties for performance deficiencies and not civil or administrative penalties for violations of law.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

XXI. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATE AGENCIES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

United States' Covenant Not to Sue the Released Parties. In consideration of 70. the payments that will be made by the Settling Parties under the terms of this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 71 of this Section, the United States (including without limitation any departments or agencies that are Natural Resource Trustees, recognizing that the National Marine Fisheries Service is a component of NOAA, a Natural Resource Trustee in this case) covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against the Released Parties for injunctive relief, response actions, response costs, Natural Resource Damages, or contribution under Sections 106 (including, without limitation, claims for penalties under Section 106(b)), 107 and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, 9613. Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, Sections 311 and 504 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321, 1364, and Sections 9, 10 and 13 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, 33 U.S.C. §§ 401, 402, 403, 407, or for injunctive relief, response costs, response actions, Natural Resource Damages, or contribution or other relief therefor under common law, arising from or relating to the Site. In addition, the United States, on behalf of the Bureau of Reclamation, covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the Released Parties for any other claims arising from or relating to the release or threatened release of Waste Material at or from the Site including, without limitation, any such claims as they relate to: (1) the past or future cost of construction, maintenance, or repair of the dams, reservoirs, or power plants on or near the Site, including but not limited to Shasta Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Spring Creek Debris Dam and Reservoir; Keswick Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Trinity Dam and Reservoir; and the Spring Creek Power Plant, or (2) any claims with respect to the cost or value of water released in the past or that may be released in the future from said facilities. The covenants not to sue set forth in this Paragraph include both past and future liability arising from or relating to all conditions at the Site, whether known or

unknown. These covenants not to sue shall take effect upon the payments that will be made by the Settling Parties under this Consent Decree, pursuant to Paragraph 6. The covenants not to sue provided in this Paragraph extend only to the Released Parties and do not extend to any other person.

- 71. <u>United States' General Reservations of Rights as to the Released Parties</u>. The covenants not to sue set forth above do not pertain to any matters other than those specified in Paragraph 70. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the Released Parties with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:
- A. <u>Non-compliance with Consent Decree</u>: claims based on a failure by the Settling Parties to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree for which they are obligated;
- B. Release or Disposal of Other Waste Material Inside the Site: claims arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials at locations not within the Iron Mountain Mine Property, but geographically within the Site, but only for Waste Materials that did not spread or migrate directly or indirectly from the Iron Mountain Mine Property; to the extent that such other Waste Material mixes or commingles with Waste Material that has migrated directly or indirectly from the Iron Mountain Mine Property, each Party reserves its claims and defenses as to any potential liability associated with Waste Material that has not migrated directly or indirectly from the Iron Mountain Mine Property, including but not limited to claims and defenses as to joint and several liability and divisibility of harm.
- C. <u>Conduct Causing Future Release or Disposal at the Site</u>: claims arising from future conduct by a Released Party after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree that causes a new disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material at the Site;
- D. Release or Disposal Outside the Site: claims arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials at locations outside

the Site, including the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials shipped from the Site to a location outside the Site by rail, ship, car, truck, or similar mechanical conveyance; and

E. <u>Criminal liability</u>: claims for criminal liability.

72. State Agencies' Covenant Not to Sue the Released Parties.

A. Covenant Not to Sue. In consideration of the payments that will be made by the Settling Parties under the terms of this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 72.B, the State agencies (including, without limitation, any State department or agency that is included in the definition of the term Natural Resource Trustees) covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against the Released Parties for any civil claims or causes of actions, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which the State agencies have now or may have in the future against the Released Parties, under any federal, state or common law, arising from or relating to any conditions at the Site, including without limitation any claims for response costs, for response actions, for Natural Resource Damages, for contribution, or for other relief based upon the disposal, release or threat of release of the hazardous substances alleged in the State plaintiffs' complaint at or from the Site. The State agencies relinquish, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

These covenants not to sue shall take effect upon the payments that will be made by the Settling Parties under this Consent Decree, pursuant to Paragraph 6. The covenants not to sue provided in this Paragraph extend only to the Released Parties and do not extend to any other person.

- B. <u>General Reservations of Rights</u>. The covenants not to sue set forth above do not pertain to any matters other than those specified in Paragraph 72.A. The State agencies reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the Released Parties with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:
- (1) <u>Non-Compliance with Consent Decree</u>: claims based on a failure by the Settling Parties to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree for which they are obligated;
- claims arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials at locations not within the Iron Mountain Mine Property, but geographically within the Site, but only for Waste Materials that did not spread or migrate directly or indirectly from the Iron Mountain Mine Property; to the extent that such other Waste Material mixes or commingles with Waste Material that has migrated directly or indirectly from the Iron Mountain Mine Property, each Party reserves its claims and defenses as to any potential liability associated with Waste Material that has not migrated directly or indirectly from the Iron Mountain Mine Property, including but not limited to claims and defenses as to joint and several liability and divisibility of harm.
- (3) Conduct Causing Future Release or Disposal at the Site: claims arising from future conduct by a Released Party after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree that causes a new disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material at the Site;
- past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials at locations outside the Site, including the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials shipped from the Site to a location outside the Site by rail, ship, car, truck, or similar mechanical conveyance;
 - (5) Criminal Liability: claims for criminal liability;

4 Mine Property that is unrelated to the disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material;

- (7) Future Conduct At the Site Unrelated to a Release or Disposal of Waste Material: claims arising from future conduct by a Released Party (after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree) at the Site, or outside the Site, that is unrelated to the disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material;
- (8) Assertion of Exclusive Right to Use or Ownership: claims arising from an assertion by a Released Party of an exclusive right to use or ownership of any interest in the bed of any stream, river, reservoir or other water body within the Site; and
- (9) Water Rights: claims arising from a past violation (before the Effective Date of this Consent Decree) of any permit or license held by a Released Party to divert and use water at locations outside the Iron Mountain Mine Property; claims arising from a future violation (after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree) of any permit or license held by a Released Party to divert and use water at or outside the Site; or claims or orders, administrative or judicial, to require a Released Party to cease diverting and using water without a permit or license at or outside the Site.
- Trust II, or the Trustee. In consideration of the Work that will be performed by the Site Operator (or by IT to the extent IT becomes the Site Operator under this Consent Decree) as a RAC, the Site Operator, IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, and the Trustee shall not be liable under Sections 101 through 126 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9626, or under any other federal law, to any person for injuries, costs, damages, expenses, or other liability (including but not limited to claims for indemnification or contribution and claims by third parties for death, personal injury, illness or loss of or damage to property or economic loss) resulting from any

20!

release or threatened release of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant (1) arising 2 from the Site Operator's performance of the Work, or from the Site Operator's or Trust II's 3 operation, or Trust I's ownership, of facilities at the Site in connection with the Work, or (2) 4 arising, prior to the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, from any ownership or operation 5 of the Site by any of the Released Parties or by any other third party, or from any arrangement 6 for disposal of any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the Site by 7 any of the Released Parties or by any other third party. The protections afforded in this Paragraph shall not apply in the case of a release that is caused or contributed to by conduct of 8 9 the Site Operator not in connection with the Work, or to a release arising from conduct of the 10 Site Operator in connection with the Work that is negligent, grossly negligent, or that 11 constitutes intentional misconduct. The protections afforded in this Paragraph shall take effect 12 upon the initiation of the Work by the Site Operator or the Effective Date of this Consent 13 Decree, whichever is later, and shall continue throughout the Performance Period, provided, 14 however, that the protections afforded in this Paragraph shall not apply during and to the 15 extent of any deficiencies in performance of the Work by the Site Operator that is negligent. 16 grossly negligent, or that constitutes intentional misconduct. Except as provided in Paragraph 17 3 (Transferability), the protections afforded in this Paragraph extend only to the Site Operator, 18 the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, and the Trustee, and do not extend to any other person. In 19 accordance with Section 8.1.4 of the SOW, the Site Operator makes no express or implied 20 warranty as to its ability to meet the Performance Standards set forth in the SOW. 21

74. United States' General Reservations of Rights as to the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, and the Trustee. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I. Trust II, and the Trustee with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

2526

22

23

24

27

- B. Release or Disposal in Connection with the Work: claims for liability arising from releases or disposal of Waste Material arising from conduct of the Site Operator in connection with the Work that is negligent, grossly negligent, or that constitutes intentional misconduct:
- C. Release or Disposal not in Connection with the Work: claims for liability arising from the releases or disposal of Waste Material at or from the Site by the Site Operator, other than in connection with the Work, to the extent that any such release or disposal is caused or contributed to by the Site Operator:
- D. <u>Violations of Other Laws</u>: claims for liability arising from violations of federal or state law, not coming within the scope of this Covenant as set forth in Paragraph 73, which occur during implementation of the Work; and
 - E. <u>Criminal Liability</u>: claims for criminal liability.
- 75. The State Agencies' Covenant Not to Sue the Site Operator, the IT Parties.

 Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee.
- A. <u>Covenant Not to Sue</u>. In consideration of the Work that will be performed by the Site Operator under the terms of this Consent Decree and the SOW, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 75.D:
- (1) The State agencies covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee, for response costs. Natural Resource Damages, contribution, civil penalties, civil liabilities or other relief, under Sections 107, 113 and 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, 9659, Section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972, Sections 301, 311 and 505 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311, 1321, 1365 (to the extent that the State agencies have a right of action under these

Clean Water Act sections). Section 25360 of the California Health and Safety Code (the California Hazardous Substance Account Act). Sections 25181, 25187, 25187, 1, 25187.5 and 25189.2(b) and (c) of the California Health and Safety Code (the California Hazardous Waste Control Act). Sections 13350, 13301, 13304 and 13385 of the California Water Code, and Sections 2014, 12015 and 12016 of the California Fish and Game Code, arising from the performance of the Work, operation of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property in connection with the Work, or ownership of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property in connection with the Work, by the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee. These covenants not to sue shall not apply during and only to the extent the performance of any Work, operation of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property, or ownership of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property, or ownership of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property, that is negligent, grossly negligent, or constitutes intentional misconduct.

- (2) The Site Operator shall further be entitled to the protections provided by Sections 107(d) and 119 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(d) and 9619, in connection with its performance of the Work.
- administrative action against the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee, for response costs, Natural Resource Damages, contribution, civil penalties, civil liabilities or other relief, under Sections 107, 113 and 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, 9659. Section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972, Sections 301, 311 and 505 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311, 1321, 1365 (to the extent that the State agencies have a right of action under these Clean Water Act sections), Section 25360 of the California Health and Safety Code (the California Hazardous Substance Account Act), Sections 25181, 25187, 25187.1, 25187.5 and 25189.2(b) and (c) of the California Health and Safety Code (the California Hazardous Waste Control Act), Sections 13350, 13301, 13304 and 13385 of the California Water Code, and Sections 2014, 12015 and 12016 of the California Fish and Game Code.

19:

- arising from past, present or future disposal, release or threat of release of Waste Material that
 originated at or on, or at or from, the Iron Mountain Mine Property, occurring other than in
 connection with performance of the Work, operation of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine
 Property other than in connection with the Work, or ownership of facilities at the Iron
 Mountain Mine Property other than in connection with the Work, by the Site Operator, the IT
 Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee, and that was not caused or contributed to by the Site
 Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee.
 - B. <u>Effective Date</u>. The covenants not to sue in this Paragraph shall take effect upon the initiation of the Work by the Site Operator or the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, whichever is later, and shall continue throughout the Performance Period.
 - Trustee and Approved Transferee. The covenants not to sue provided in this Paragraph extend only to the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, and the Trustee, and do not extend to any other person; provided, however, that if the State agencies are in accord with the United States, as the Oversight Agency, with respect to the approval of an assignment, delegation, or transfer of the Site Operator's duties and obligations under this Consent Decree and SOW to a transferee as provided in Paragraph 3, then the State agencies' covenants not to sue, together with the reservations, provided for in this Paragraph, shall extend to the approved transferee.
 - D. General Reservations of Rights. The covenants not to sue set forth above do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Paragraph 75. With respect to all other matters, except those in Paragraph 75.D.(1), the State agencies reserve their rights to bring enforcement actions in State judicial or administrative fora. Further, the State agencies reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I. Trust II, and the Trustee with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

-60-

26 i

22 |

- Ownership: claims for liability arising from, and to the extent of, a disposal, release or threat of release of Waste Material in connection with performance of the Work, operation of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property in connection with the Work, or ownership of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property in connection with the Work, that is negligent, grossly negligent, or constitutes intentional misconduct.
- Or Ownership: claims for liability arising from any disposal, release or threat of release of Waste Material at or from the Site by the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee, other than in connection with the Work, operation of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property other than in connection with the Work, or ownership of facilities at the Iron Mountain Mine Property other than in connection with the Work, to the extent that any such disposal, release or threat of release is caused or contributed to by the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust II, or the Trustee.
- (4) <u>Future Violations of Other Laws</u>: claims for liability arising from violations of federal or state law not coming within the scope of these Covenants as set forth in Paragraph 75; and
 - (5) <u>Criminal Liability</u>: claims for criminal liability.
- 76. Work Takeover. The Oversight Agency may assume the performance of all or any portions of Site activities otherwise to be performed by the Site Operator under this Consent Decree and the SOW, as set forth in the SOW. In the event of work takeover.

 AISLIC shall pay SOW Response Costs as set forth in the Policy, except to the extent such

12!

costs are not covered by the Policy. As set forth in the SOW, the Site Operator shall be responsible for SOW Response Costs that are not covered by the Policy.

XXII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY THE RELEASED PARTIES. THE SITE OPERATOR, THE IT PARTIES, THE TRUSTS, AND THE TRUSTEE; INTERGOVERNMENTAL COVENANTS

77. Covenants Not to Sue by the Released Parties

- A. <u>Covenant Not to Sue the United States</u>. In consideration of the foregoing covenant not to sue by the United States, and subject to Paragraph 78, the Released Parties hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to:
- (1) any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, 9613, or any other provision of law;
- (2) any claims against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States, under Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, related to the Site;
- claims based on EPA's or the State's selection of response activities at the Site, including response activities, approval of plans for such activities, or implementation or operation and maintenance of such activities;
- (4) any claims based on alleged ownership, operation, oversight of operation, or participation or cooperation in operation, of dams, reservoirs or power plants on or near the Site, including, but not limited to, Shasta Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Spring

-62-

28

Creek Debris Dam, Reservoir, and power plant, Keswick Dam, Reservoir, and power plant;

provides:

2 :

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(6)The Released Parties relinquish, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which

> A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

For the purposes of this Paragraph 77 and Paragraph 78, only, C. "Released Parties" includes only Stauffer Management Company, Atkemix Thirty-Seven Inc., Aventis CropScience USA Inc., Aventis CropScience USA, LP, Rhodia, Inc., Imperial Chemical Industries PLC, ICI International Investments, Inc., and Zeneca Holdings, Inc., together with any of their predecessor or successor entities, and direct or indirect parents or subsidiaries, to the extent that any such predecessor, successor, or direct or indirect parents or subsidiaries would be derivatively liable for any liabilities of the companies specifically named in this Paragraph. If, notwithstanding the Covenants set forth in this Paragraph 77, any Released Party enumerated in this Subparagraph brings an action precluded by the Covenants and not authorized by Paragraph 78, the United States and the State agencies reserve the right to bring claims against such Released Party pursuant to the reservations contained in Paragraphs 71.A and 72.B.(1) of this Consent Decree.

Released Parties' Reservations. The Released Parties reserve any defenses to any order or claim brought by the United States or the State agencies pursuant to the reservations contained in Paragraphs 71 and 72.B. In addition, the Released Parties reserve any cross-claims, counterclaims, or third-party claims against the United States or the State agencies in response to any claims brought by the United States or State agencies against the Released Parties pursuant to the reservations contained in Paragraphs 71 and 72.B. However,

- the Trustee.
- A. Covenant Not to Sue the United States. In consideration of the foregoing covenant not to sue by the United States, the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I. Trust II, and the Trustee hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree. including, but not limited to:
- any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, 9613, or any other provision of law:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

1	(2) any claims against the United States, including any department,		
2	agency or instrumentality of the United States, under Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA.		
3	42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, related to the Site;		
4	(3) any claims arising out of response activities at the Site, including		
5	claims based on EPA's or the State's selection of response actions, oversight or support of		
6	response activities, approval of plans for such activities, or implementation or operation and		
7	maintenance of such activities;		
8	(4) any claims based on alleged ownership, operation, oversight of		
9	operation, or participation or cooperation in operation, of dams, reservoirs or power plants on		
10	or near the Site, including, but not limited to, Shasta Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Spring		
11	Creek Debris Dam, Reservoir, and power plant, Keswick Dam, Reservoir, and power plant;		
12	and Trinity Dam and Reservoir; and		
13	(5) any claims based on alleged ownership of any beds of streams.		
14	rivers, reservoirs, or other water bodies or interest in any state waters or waters of the United		
15	States.		
16	B. <u>Covenant Not to Sue the State</u> . In consideration of the foregoing		
17	covenant not to sue by the State agencies, the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II,		
18	and the Trustee hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of		
19	action, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, against the State of California, or any o		
20	its agencies, under federal or state law, with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree.		
21	including, but not limited to:		
22	(1) any claims under Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.		
23	§§ 9607, 9613;		
24	(2) any claims based on alleged ownership, operation, oversight of		
25	operation, or participation or cooperation in operation, of dams, reservoirs or power plants on		
26	or near the Site, including, but not limited to, Shasta Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Spring		
27	-66-		
28	-00-		

- (3) any claims based on alleged ownership by the State of California of any beds of streams, rivers, reservoirs, or other water bodies, or any interest in state waters or waters of the United States:
- (4) any claims based on alleged failure to discharge public trust duties or supervise appropriated water; and
- (5) any claims arising out of response activities at the Site, including but not limited to claims based on EPA's or the State's selection of response actions, oversight or support of response activities, approval of plans for such activities, or implementation or operation and maintenance of such activities.

C. Claims not Included.

- II, and the Trustee set forth in this Paragraph 80 do not include claims for nonpayment for work required by the Oversight Agency under Section 4.2.1 of the SOW to the extent not paid for, or claims for reimbursement of costs incurred in defending or indemnifying the State or federal government under Section 5.3.2 of the SOW to the extent such costs are found by a court of competent jurisdiction to have arisen out of a claim, liability, loss, or damage caused by the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the State or federal government. No act or omission constituting the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the State shall be imputed or attributed to the federal government, and no act or omission constituting the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the federal government shall be imputed or attributed to the State.
- (2) The covenants by the Site Operator, the IT Parties. Trust I. Trust II, and the Trustee set forth in this Paragraph 80 do not include claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the

20!

negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, any such claim shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a federal employee as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671; nor shall any such claim include a claim based on EPA's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of the Site Operator's plans or activities. The foregoing applies only to claims which are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA.

- (3) The Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, and the Trustee reserve any defenses to any order or claim brought by the United States or the State agencies pursuant to the reservations contained in Paragraphs 74 and 75.D of this Consent Decree.
- 81. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim by the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).
- 82. Subject to Section XXI of this Consent Decree (Covenants Not to Sue by the United States and the State agencies), the United States and the State retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

83. Intergovernmental Covenants.

A. <u>Definitions</u>. For purposes of this Paragraph 83, "Iron Mountain Mine" shall mean that portion of the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site located in Shasta County, California, approximately 9 miles northwest of the City of Redding, consisting of approximately 8,000 acres of land that includes the mining property on the topographic feature known as Iron Mountain, several inactive underground and open pit mines, numerous waste piles.

20 4

For purposes of this Paragraph 83, Iron Mountain Mine shall not include the downstream reaches of Boulder Creek, Slickrock Creek, Spring Creek. Spring Creek Reservoir, the Spring Creek Debris Dam, or Keswick Reservoir. For purposes of this Paragraph 83 and the Consent Decree, these downstream reaches and facilities together with Iron Mountain Mine are known as the "Iron Mountain Mine Property." Also for purposes of this Paragraph 83, Iron Mountain Mine shall not include locations where Waste Material from the Iron Mountain Mine Property have spread or migrated by surface water, groundwater, air dispersion, or other medium. For purposes of this Paragraph 83 and the Consent Decree, the locations where Waste Material from the Iron Mountain Mine Property has come to be located, together with the Iron Mountain Mine Property and the Land as defined in Paragraph 6.E, are known as the "Site."

- B. <u>United States' Covenant Not to Sue</u>. In consideration of the settlement and the two sovereigns' efforts towards abatement of the Waste Material released from Iron Mountain Mine, the United States covenants not to file a civil suit or to take administrative action against the State agencies pursuant to Sections 106, 107, and 113 of CERCLA.

 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, 9613, for any matter arising from or relating to the disposal. release or threat of release at or from the Site, past or future, of Waste Material that originated at or from Iron Mountain Mine, including but not limited to:
- (1) any claims based on alleged ownership, operation, oversight of operation, or participation or cooperation in operation of dams, reservoirs or power plants on or near the Site, including, but not limited to, Shasta Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Spring

1 1

20 /

22!

24 :

- (2) any claims against the State or the State agencies based on alleged ownership of any beds of streams, rivers, reservoirs, or other water bodies, or interest in any waters, in or at the Site;
- (3) any claims based on alleged failure to discharge public trust duties, to supervise appropriated water, to discharge other duties or to take other action; and
- (4) except as provided in Section XXVIII of this Consent Decree, any claims arising out of response activities at the Site, including but not limited to claims based on any selection by the State agencies of response actions, oversight or support of response activities, approval of plans for such activities, or implementation or operation and maintenance of such activities.

The United States further covenants not to file a civil suit or to take administrative action against the State agencies under RCRA as to any matter arising from or relating to the disposal, release or threat of release at or from the Site, past or future, of Waste Material that originated at or from Iron Mountain Mine, based on activities by the State agencies or ownership by the State, as set forth in Subparagraphs (1)-(4) above, in a sovereign capacity.

C. <u>State Agencies' Covenant Not to Sue</u>. In consideration of the settlement and the two sovereigns' efforts towards abatement of the Waste Material released from Iron Mountain Mine, the State agencies covenant not to file a civil suit or to take administrative action against the United States pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, and Sections 25355.5, 25358.3 (a) and (e), 25359.3, 25359.4 and 25360 of the California Health and Safety Code (California Hazardous Substances Account Act), for any matter arising from or relating to the disposal, release or threat of release at or from the Site, past or future, of Waste Material that originated at or from Iron Mountain Mine, including but not limited to:

1 3

2:

-1

12:

4.

operation, or participation or cooperation in operation of dams, reservoirs or power plants on or near the Site, including, but not limited to, Shasta Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Spring Creek Debris Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; Keswick Dam, Reservoir, and power plant; and Trinity Dam and Reservoir;

- (2) any claims based on alleged ownership of any beds of streams, rivers, reservoirs, or other water bodies, or interest in any waters, in or at the Site;
- (3) any claims based on alleged failure to discharge duties or to take other action; and
- (4) except as provided in Section XXVIII of this Consent Decree, any claims arising out of response activities at the Site, including but not limited to claims based on any selection by the United States of response actions, oversight or support of response activities, approval of plans for such activities, or implementation or operation and maintenance of such activities.

The State agencies further covenant not to file a civil suit or to take administrative action against the United States under Section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6972, and Chapter 6.5 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code (California Hazardous Waste Control Law). Section 25100 et seq., as to any matter arising from or relating to the disposal, release or threat of release at or from the Site, past or future, of Waste Material that originated at or from Iron Mountain Mine, based on activities or ownership by the United States, as set forth in Subparagraphs (1)-(4) above, in a sovereign capacity.

D. Reservation of Rights; Effective Date. The covenants not to sue or to take administrative action set forth in Paragraph 83 of this Consent Decree apply only to Waste Material originating at or from Iron Mountain Mine. The covenants in Paragraph 83 do not apply to any matter other than those expressly specified in Paragraph 83. The United States and the State agencies reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all

rights with respect to all other matters. The covenants in Paragraph 83 shall take effect on the Effective Date of this Consent Decree.

XXIII. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 84. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree or not a Released Party. Subject to Paragraph 85, the preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Consent Decree may have under applicable law. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each Party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto.
- 85. The United States and the State agencies acknowledge and agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that the payments to be made by the Settling Parties pursuant to this Consent Decree represent a good faith settlement and compromise of disputed claims, that the Work to be performed under this Consent Decree and the SOW by the Site Operator represents a valuable benefit to the United States and the State agencies, and that the settlement represents a fair, reasonable, and equitable resolution of the matters addressed in this Consent Decree. The Parties further agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that the Released Parties, the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I. Trust II, and the Trustee are entitled, as of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, to protection from costs, damages, actions, or other claims (whether seeking contribution, indemnification, or however denominated) for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, as provided by (1) CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), and (2) all other applicable provisions of federal or state statutes or of common law that may limit or extinguish their potential liability to persons not a party to this Consent Decree, including without limitation Sections 877 and 877.6 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

1

2

3 .

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

191

20

21

27

23

24

25

- 87. As to the Released Parties, the "matters addressed" in this settlement do not include those matters as to which the United States and the State agencies have reserved their rights under this Consent Decree, pursuant to Paragraphs 71 and 72.B, in the event that the United States or the State agencies assert a claim against the Released Parties coming within the scope of such reservations.
- 88. As to the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, and the Trustee, the "matters addressed" in this settlement do not include those matters as to which the United States and the State agencies have reserved their rights under this Consent Decree pursuant to Paragraphs 74 and 75.D (except for claims for the failure by the Site Operator to comply with the terms of this Consent Decree), in the event that the United States or the State agencies assert a claim against the Site Operator, the IT Parties, Trust I, Trust II, or the Trustee coming within the scope of such reservations.
- 89. The Settling Parties agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify the United States and the State in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.
- 90. The Settling Parties also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the United States and the State within 30 days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, the Settling Parties shall notify the United States and the State within 10 days of

17.

91. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State agencies provided for by Paragraphs 71.A-E or 72.B (1)-(9), the Settling Parties shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State agencies in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXI of this Consent Decree.

XXIV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- 92. The Settling Parties shall provide to the United States and the State plaintiffs, upon request, copies of all documents within their possession or control, including all documents reasonably obtainable from any of their contractors or agents (including from their document repositories or custodians), relating to activities at the Site, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents related to the activities conducted at the Site. The requirements of this Paragraph shall not apply to documents previously exchanged between the Settling Parties and the United States or the State plaintiffs prior to the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, unless any such documents are specifically so requested within 90 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree.
- 93. The Site Operator shall provide documents and information relating to the Site and implementation of the requirements of this Consent Decree and the SOW, as specified in the SOW.
- 94. The Settling Parties and the Site Operator may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to Plaintiffs under this

3:

24 |

- 95. The Settling Parties and the Site Operator may assert that certain documents. records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law or applicable state law. If the Settling Parties or the Site Operator asserts such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document. record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by the Settling Parties or the Site Operator. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated by the Site Operator pursuant to the specific requirements of this Consent Decree or the SOW shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.
- No claim of privilege as against the Plaintiffs, other than business confidentiality, shall be made by the Site Operator with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, engineering, or cost data (excepting cost data related to wages, overhead rates, or profit), or

1 1

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3 |

1 4

any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site. However, nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to effect a waiver of any such claim of privilege as against any person not a party to this Consent Decree, and no submission of documents or other information pursuant to this Section shall be construed as such a waiver.

XXV. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- 97. Until 10 years after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, unless a shorter document retention period applies under Paragraph 99, the Settling Parties shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in their possession or control or that come into their possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of activities at the Site or the liability of any person for response actions conducted and to be conducted at the Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary. This obligation does not apply to documents previously exchanged between the Settling Parties and the United States or the State plaintiffs prior to the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Until 10 years after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Settling Parties shall also instruct their contractors and agents to preserve all documents, records, and information of whatever kind, nature or description relating to the performance of activities at the Site.
- 98. The Site Operator shall preserve and retain all records and documents as specified in the SOW.
- 99. At the conclusion of the applicable document retention period specified above, the Settling Parties shall notify the United States and the State plaintiffs at least 90 days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents and, upon request by the United States or the State plaintiffs, the Settling Parties shall deliver any such records or documents to the United States or the State plaintiffs. At any time prior to the conclusion of the document retention period, the Settling Parties may elect to notify the United States and the State plaintiffs that they wish to transfer documents subject to the requirements of this Section. Upon request by the United States or the State plaintiffs, within 90 days of such notification.

the Settling Parties shall deliver any requested documents to the United States or the State plaintiffs. Documents not requested upon notification by the Settling Parties, either prior to or following the document retention period, may be disposed of by the Settling Parties.

100. The Settling Parties and the Site Operator may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law or applicable state law. If the Settling Parties or the Site Operator asserts such a privilege, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by the Settling Parties or the Site Operator. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated by the Site Operator pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. Further, nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to effect a waiver of any such claim of privilege as against any person not a party to this Consent Decree, and no delivery of documents to the Plaintiffs pursuant to this Section shall be construed as such a waiver.

XXVI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, the State, the Settling Parties, and the Site Operator, respectively.

22 |

23 |

26 |

1	As to the United States:	Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division
2		U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box /611
3		Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Re: DJ # 90-11-3-196A
4	and	
5		David B. Glazer Environmental Enforcement Section
6		Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice
7		301 Howard Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, CA 94105
8		
9 10	As to EPA:	Director, Superfund Division United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9
11		75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105
12		Rick Sugarek_
13		EPA Project Coordinator United States Environmental Protection Agency
14		Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street
15		San Francisco, CA 94105
16	As to the Natural Resource Trustees:	Manager California/Nevada Operations
17		U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way Suite W-2606 Sacramento, CA 95825
19		Katherine Pease
20		Senior Counselor for Natural Resources Office of General Counsel, NOAA
21		Suite 4470 501 W. Ocean Blvd. Long Beach, CA 90802
22		Bong Deach, Cit 70002
		Robert C. Hight Director
23		Robert C. Hight, Director Department of Fish and Game 1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor
23 24		
		Department of Fish and Game 1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor
24		Department of Fish and Game 1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor
24 25		Department of Fish and Game 1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor

1 · 2 · 3 · 4 · 1		Don Koch, Regional Manager Northern California-North Coast Region Department of Fish and Game 601 Locust Street Redding, CA 96001 (530)225-2363
5	As to the State, the State plaintiffs,	
6	and the State agencies:	Executive Officer Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central
7		Valley Region 3443 Routier Road Sacramento, CA 95827-3098
8		James Pedri, Assistant Executive Officer
9		Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region 415 Knollcrest Drive
11		Redding, CA 96002 (530) 224-4845
12		Director, Department of Toxic Substances Control
13		400 P Street, P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95814
14		James Tjosvold, Chief Northern and Central California Cleanup
15		Operations Branch Department of Toxic Substances Control
16 17		10150 Croydon Way, Suite 3 Sacramento, CA 95827-2106 (916) 255-3740
18	A. a. aka Cambina Damina	
19	As to the Settling Parties:	Joseph C. Kelly Vice President and General Counsel
20		Stauffer Management Company 1800 Concord Pike
21		P.O. Box 15438 Wilmington. DE 19850-5438 (302) 886-3745
22	A	Mario Maciel, President
23	As to the Site Operator:	IT Iron Mountain Operations, LLC 4005 Port Chicago Highway
24		Concord, CA 94520
25		James M. Redwine, Vice President IT Iron Mountain Operations, LLC
26		2790 Mosside Boulevard Monroeville, PA 15146
27		-79-
28		17-

1	As to Trust I and Trust II:	Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust c/o IT Administrative Services. LLC
2		4005 Port Chicago Highway Concord, CA 94520 Attn: Mario Maciel, President
4		Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust
5 1		c/o IT Administrative Services, LLC 2790 Mosside Boulevard
6		Monroeville, PA 15146 Attn: James M. Redwine, Vice President
7	As to the Trustee:	Mario Maciel, President IT Administrative Services, LLC
8		4005 Port Chicago Highway Concord, CA 94520
9		James M. Redwine, Vice President
10		IT Administrative Services, LLC 2790 Mosside Boulevard
11		Monroeville, PA 15146
12	As to IT Corporation:	Mario Maciel, Director of Construction for the
13		Commercial and Engineering Group IT Corporation
14		4005 Port Chicago Highway Concord, CA 94520
15		Gary Gardner, Senior Vice President
16 -		President, Commercial Engineer and Construction Group
17		IT Corporation 200 Horizon Center Boulevard
18 !		Trenton. NJ 08691-1904
19		James M. Redwine Vice President
20		IT Corporation 2790 Mosside Boulevard
21		Monroeville, PA 15146
22	As to The IT Group, Inc:	Mario Maciel, Director of Construction for the
23		Commercial and Engineering Group The IT Group, Inc.
24 1		4005 Port Chicago Highway Concord, CA 94520
25		
26		
27		-80-
28		

ין	President, Commercial Engineer and Construction
3	Group The IT Group, Inc. 200 Horizon Center Boulevard
1	Trenton, NJ 08691-1904
5	James M. Redwine Vice President
6	The IT Group, Inc. 2790 Mosside Boulevard
7	Monroeville, PA 15146
8	As to AISLIC: Manager, Pollution Insurance Products Unit
9	AIG Technical Services, Inc. Environmental Claims Department 80 Pine Street, Sixth Floor
10	New York, New York 10005
11	XXVII. <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>
12	102. The Effective Date of this Consent Decree shall be the date upon which this
13	Consent Decree is initially approved and entered by the Court.
14	XXVIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
15	103. This Court retains jurisdiction over:
16	A. the subject matter of this Consent Decree,
17	B. issues that cannot otherwise be resolved arising between the United
18	States and the State over the subject matter of this Consent Decree, and
19	C. the Settling Parties, Site Operator, IT, ITX, and AISLIC for the duration
20	of their respective performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree.
21	Such continuing jurisdiction shall be for the purpose of:
22	D. enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such
23	further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction of
24	this Consent Decree, or modification of this Consent Decree pursuant to Section XXXI.
25	E. to effectuate or enforce compliance with the terms of this Consent
26	Decree.
27	-81-
28	-01-

14	F. to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XIX (Dispute Resolution)
2	hereof, and
3	G. to resolve disputes arising under this Consent Decree between the United
1	States and the State that cannot be otherwise resolved in accordance with the procedures set
5	forth in the MOU.
6	XXIX. APPENDICES
7	104. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent
8	Decree:
9	"Appendix A" is ROD 1
10	"Appendix B" is ROD 2
11	"Appendix C" is ROD 3
12	"Appendix D" is ROD 4
13	"Appendix E" is the SOW
14	"Appendix F" is the map of the Site
15	"Appendix G" is the Action Memorandum for the Flat Creek Bridge removal action
16	"Appendix H" is the MOU
17	"Appendix I" is the DOI payment instructions
18	"Appendix J" is the Policy
19	"Appendix K" is the Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust Agreement II
20	"Appendix L" is the map depicting the Land
21	"Appendix M" is the Access Agreement
22	"Appendix N" is the Iron Mountain Mine Remediation Trust Agreement I
23	XXX. <u>COMMUNITY RELATIONS</u>
24	105. The Site Operator shall cooperate with EPA, the State plaintiffs, and the Natural
25	Resource Trustees in providing information regarding the activities required by this Consent
26	Decree to the public. As requested by EPA, the State plaintiffs, or the Natural Resource
27	-82-
28	-02-

Trustees, the Site Operator shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings that may be held or sponsored by EPA or the State plaintiffs to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

XXXI. MODIFICATION

- 106. This Consent Decree may be modified by agreement of the Parties and approval by the Court. All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- 107. Except as provided in the SOW, no material modifications shall be made to the SOW without written notification to and written approval of the Oversight Agency, with the concurrence of the Support Agency, and the Site Operator. Modifications to the SOW that do not materially alter that document may be made by written agreement between the Oversight Agency and the Site Operator. Modifications to the Policy allowed by the Policy may be made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Policy.
- 108. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

XXXII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

109. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than thirty (30) days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. In accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973(d), members of the public will be given notice and an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area and a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed settlement prior to its final entry. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Settling Parties and the Site Operator consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

25 | 26 |

1	110. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decre	e in the	
2	form presented, or if its approval and entry of this Consent Decree is subsequently vaca-	ated on	
3	appeal of such approval and entry, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any		
4 .	Party, subject to the obligations of the Site Operator under Paragraph 14 B, and the ter	ms of	
5.	this Consent Decree may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.		
6 :	XXXIII. RESOLUTION OF NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE CLAIMS		
7	111. The Natural Resource Trustees commit to the expenditure of the funds so	et forth	
8	in Paragraph 6.A.(1)(a) for the design, implementation, permitting (as necessary), mon	itoring,	
9	and oversight of restoration projects, and for the costs of complying with the requirement	ents of	
10 '	the law to conduct restoration planning and implementation for resources they believe h	nave	
11]	been impacted by releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site, in furtherance o	f the	
12 '	Natural Resource Trustees' efforts to:		
13	a. preserve, protect, and restore current or potential habitat for fish	and	
14	wildlife;		
15	b. preserve, protect, and restore current or potential habitat for enda	ingered	
16	and threatened species; and to		
17	c. otherwise restore, replace, or acquire the equivalent of any natura	al	
18	resources or services that the Natural Resource Trustees believe h	nave	
19	been injured or lost by releases of hazardous substances from the	Site.	
20 :	112. In allocating monies for restoration projects, the Natural Resource Trusto	ees	
21	shall take into consideration:		
22	A. Their determination of the injuries they believe to have been caus	ed by	
23	releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site; and		
24	B. Restoration projects that include, but are not limited to, projects	that	
25	enhance habitat and projects that improve water quality and quantity in the main stem of	of the	
26			
27	-84-		
28	-0 1-		

or proposals to be developed jointly by the Natural Resource Trustees. Any final restoration plan will be prepared and implemented jointly by the Natural Resource Trustees, after providing public notice, opportunity for public input, and consideration of any public comment. The Natural Resource Trustees jointly retain the ultimate authority and responsibility to use the funds in the Iron Mountain Mine NRD Account to restore natural resources in accordance with applicable law, this Consent Decree, and any MOU entered into by the Natural Resource Trustees.

XXXIV. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the U.S.

 Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.
- 115. The Settling Parties and the Site Operator hereby agree not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified the Settling Parties and the Site Operator in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.
- signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. The Settling Parties and the Site Operator hereby agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons.

۷	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

117. This Consent Decree may be signed in counterparts, and such counterpart signature pages shall be given full force and effect.

XXXV. ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS

under Paragraph 6 of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendant shall have no further obligations under the administrative orders issued by EPA or DTSC to date. If, following the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Consent Decree is vacated and not reentered, so that there is no Date of Final Approval, EPA and DTSC may reinstate the obligations of those administrative orders as to the Settling Defendant.

XXXVI. FINAL JUDGMENT

119. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United States, the State agencies, and the Settling Defendant and, among other things, resolves all claims, counterclaims, and third-party claims filed in the above-captioned cases between and among those Parties. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS & DAY OF Decrapy, 2000.

Hon. David F. Levi United States District Judge

-86----

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site. FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Date LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 - 87 -

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.

,	•
2	
3	
4	COT. 16, 2000
	Date
5 6 7	
7.	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	!
17	
18	:
19	. 1
20	
21	
22	·
23	1
24	! ! !
25	:
26	
	•

27 :

28

DAVID B. GLAZER
Environmental Enforcement Section

Environment and Natural Resources Division

U.S. Department of Justice 301 Howard Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 744-6491

-88-

		ting to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site
1	The state of the s	ting to the from Mountain Mills Departure
2		
3		
4	Date C.C.	KEITH TAKATA
5	Date	Director, Superfund Division
6		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street
7		San Francisco, California 94105
8		·
9	4/4/200	
10	Date	THOMAS A. BLOOMFIELD Assistant Regional Counsel
11		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
12		Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street
13		San Francisco, California 94105
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
ì	11	

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States

		this Consent Decree is, the matter of <u>United States</u> ng to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.
1	v. non wountain wines, me., et al., letath	ing to the front wouldain write outerfully ofte.
2		FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, on behalf
3		of the Department of Toxic Substances Control, the CHSA, the CHSCF, the CTSCA, the Regional
4		Water Quality Control Board for the Central Valley Region, the State Water Resources Control
5		Board, the Department of Fish and Game, and the State Lands Commission:
6 7		
8	10/17/00	EDWARD C. ANTON, Acting Executive Director
9	Date	State Water Resources Control Board 901 P Street
10		Sacramento, California 95827
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		-90-
40		

THE UNDERSIGNED 1 2TY enters into this Consent Decree 1. The matter of United States v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.

2	
3	18 OCT 2000 Date
4	

5 |

8 |

GARY CARLTON, Executive Officer Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region 3443 Routier Road, Suite A

Sacramento, California 95827-3098

-91-

	THE UNDERSIGNED 1 ATY enters into this Consent Decree 1. The matter of <u>United States</u>
1	v. Iron Mountain Mines. Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.
2	
3	10/17/00 Edin F. Lang -
4	Date EDWIN F. LOWRY, Director
5	Department of Toxic Substances Control (and on behalf of the CHSA, the CIISCF,
6	and CTSCA) 400 P Street, 4 th Floor, P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95812-0806
7	Sacramento, California 95812-0806
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-92-

THE UNDERSIGNED FLACTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	The second secon
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	11

26

27

Robert CM ight
ROBERT C. HIGHT, Director
Department of Fish and Game
1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor
Sacramento, California 95814

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States</u> v. Iron Mountain Mines. Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.

2	
3	10/18/00
- 1	10///5/10

PAUL THAYER, Executive Officer

State Lands Commission 100 Howe Ave., Suite 100 Sacramento, California 95825

	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into the	nis Consent Dec	tree in the matter of United States
1 }	v. Iron Mountain Mines. Inc., et al., relating	to the Iron Mo	untain Mine Superfund Site.
2			
3	F	OR AVENTIS	CROPSCIENCE USA INC.
4			
5	iolii loo	Signature:	and Modular
6	Date	Vame (print): E	ore General Country
7		Address: Avent	15 Copposence USA Iro
8		Rese	419-549-2000
9			
10			
11 9	y .		
12	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Beha	lf of Above-sig	ned Party:
13			
14		Vame (print): Fitle:	Joseph C. Kelly, Esquire General Counsel
15	.4	Address:	Stauffer Management Company P.O. Box 15438
16			1800 Concord Pike Wilmington, DE 19850-5438
17	F	Ph. Number:	302-886-3745
13			
19	!		
20	1		
21!			
22	•		
23			
24	1		
25			
26 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
28		- 95 -	
40	9 ij		

	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into	this Consent De	ecree in the matter of United States
1	v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relation	ng to the Iron M	ountain Mine Superfund Site.
2			
3			
4			
5			
6		FOR STAUFF	ER MANAGEMENT COMPANY
7			,
8	10/16/00	Signature: (Took & fully
9	Date	Name (print): Title:	Johan CKELLY
10		Address:	Stauffer Management Company P.O. Box 15438
11			1800 Concord Pike Wilmington, DE 19850-5438
12			
13			
14			
15	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Bel	half of Above-sig	gned Party:
16			
17		Name (print):	Joseph C. Kelly, Esquire
18		Title: Address:	General Counsel Stauffer Management Company
19			P.O. Box 15438 1800 Concord Pike
20		Ph. Number:	Wilmington, DE 19850-5438 302-886-3745
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27		- 96	
28	1		

	THE UNDERSIGNED PART I enters into this		
1	v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating t	o the Iron Mo	untain Mine Superfund Site.
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	FC	OR ATKEMIX	THIRTY-SEVEN INC.
7			
8	1 10/16/00	gnature:	age (della
9	Ti	ime (print):	towell Caron to
10	Ac	ldress:	Atkernix Thirty-Seven Inc. P.O. Box 15438
11			1800 Concord Pike Wilmington, DE 19850-5438
12			
13			
14			
15	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf	of Above-sig	ned Party:
16			
17	Tı	ame (print): tle:	Joseph C. Kelly, Esquire General Counsel
18	A	ddress:	Stauffer Management Company P.O. Box 15438
19			1800 Concord Pike Wilmington, DE 19850-5438
20	Pł	i. Number:	302-886-3745
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	-	97 -	
28	3		

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site. FOR AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL SPECIALITY LINES INSURANCE COMPANY 10/17/00 Signature:_ Name (print): Jermes H. Kileenny, Tr. Date Title: Vice President Address: 175 Water Street New York, UT . 132 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party: Name (print): Karl H. Swanson

Name (print): Karl M. Swanson

Title: Division Athrney

Address: 175 Water St. 12th Floor

Now York, N. Y 10038

Ph. Number: (712) 453 -6219

-98-

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States v</u> .
2	Iron Mountain Mines, Inc., et al., relating to the Iron Mountain Mine Superfund Site.
3	
4	THE IT GROUP, INC.
5	17 0ct. '00 Signature: Oxthory of De Suca
6	Date Name (print): Anthony J. Del/uca
7	Title: Chief Executive Officer and President
8 9	Address: 2790 Mosside Boulevard Monroeville, PA 15146
10	
11	Annual Australiand Annual Compiler our Control of Alexandra de Control
12	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:
13	Name: The Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801
14	Phone Number: (302) 658-7581
15	
16	
17	
13	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	1)

IT ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, LLC 17 OCT. '00 Signature: Date Name (print): James M. Redwine Title: Vice President Address: 2790 Mosside Boulevard Monroeville, PA 15146 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party: Name: The Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone Number: (302) 658-7581

IT IRON MOUNTAIN OPERATIONS, LLC 17 001 '00 Signature:_ Date Name (print): James M. Redwine Title: Vice President Address: 2790 Mosside Boulevard Monroeville, PA 15146 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party: Name: The Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone Number: (302) 658-7581

IRON MOUNTAIN MINE REMEDIATON TRUST I By: IT Administrative Services, LLC, Trustee 17 OCT, '00 Signature: Name (print): James M. Redwine Title: Vice President б Address: 2790 Mosside Boulevard Monroeville, PA 15146 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party: Name: The Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone Number: (302) 658-7581

IRON MOUNTAIN MINE REMEDIATON TRUST II 2 By: IT Administrative Services, LLC, Trustee 3 17 OCT. '00 Signature:___ ļ Name (print): James M. Redwine Date 5 Title: Vice President 6 Address: 2790 Mosside Boulevard Monroeville, PA 15146 7 3 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party: 9 Name: The Corporation Trust Company 10 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone Number: (302) 658-7581 12 13 14 15 : 6 ΞΞ 19 20 22 23 24 25 26 - 104 -